

**To:** [REDACTED]  
**From:** Dawn Henry  
**Sent:** Mon 6/12/2017 12:57:12 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Jeffrey Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]

The best way to answer that question is to send proposed language.

Dawn

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 11, 2017 10:00 AM  
**To:** Dawn Henry [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Jeffrey Epstein

Commissioner Henry...below response to your email is from Jeffrey:

Can we sign a more comprehensive release on both sides .

On Jun 11, 2017, at 12:22 PM, Dawn Henry [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for the email. I explained in a previous email to Mr. Epstein that the changes made to DPNR's draft consent agreement is not only substantive, but also does not fall within the language of "SEP". I was asked and I agreed to allow for a SEP in lieu of a penalty. The language DPNR drafted is the correct language. I can tweak the language, but the language can not read like the Respondent is making a donation to settle this matter. As before I was told about a specific issue and language was worked out. I requested that Great Jim, indicate with specificity the issue to see if language can be worked out. However, the draft document that Mr. Epstein signed, I can not sign. Finally, there will continue to be delays, with every suggested language change.

Dawn Henry

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 8, 2017 10:53 AM  
**To:** Dawn Henry [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Jeffrey Epstein

Hello Commissioner Henry. Please see message and attachment from Jeffrey Epstein.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]  
Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein

Please see the attached. It essentially expands the release.

The \$160K grant is also being sent to your office today.

I was hoping to meet with JP to review future plans on June 17, if possible