

To: [REDACTED]
From: Dawn Henry
Sent: Mon 6/12/2017 12:57:12 PM
Subject: RE: Jeffrey Epstein

Hi [REDACTED]

The best way to answer that question is to send proposed language.

Dawn

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, June 11, 2017 10:00 AM
To: Dawn Henry [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Jeffrey Epstein

Commissioner Henry...below response to your email is from Jeffrey:

Can we sign a more comprehensive release on both sides .

On Jun 11, 2017, at 12:22 PM, Dawn Henry [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi [REDACTED]

Thanks for the email. I explained in a previous email to Mr. Epstein that the changes made to DPNR's draft consent agreement is not only substantive, but also does not fall within the language of "SEP". I was asked and I agreed to allow for a SEP in lieu of a penalty. The language DPNR drafted is the correct language. I can tweak the language, but the language can not read like the Respondent is making a donation to settle this matter. As before I was told about a specific issue and language was worked out. I requested that Great Jim, indicate with specificity the issue to see if language can be worked out. However, the draft document that Mr. Epstein signed, I can not sign. Finally, there will continue to be delays, with every suggested language change.

Dawn Henry

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 8, 2017 10:53 AM
To: Dawn Henry [REDACTED]
Subject: Jeffrey Epstein

Hello Commissioner Henry. Please see message and attachment from Jeffrey Epstein.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

Assistant to Jeffrey Epstein

Please see the attached. It essentially expands the release.

The \$160K grant is also being sent to your office today.

I was hoping to meet with JP to review future plans on June 17, if possible