

To: Kevin Maxwell[REDACTED]
From: G. Max
Sent: Sat 1/1/4501 5:00:00 AM
Subject: RE: Victoria Aitken

<html>
Kev - spoke to my friend - the case involves a 60 moorings that were originally illegally put up in Norman bay BVI and then were sold to my friend who bought Norman Island. The person who sold the moorings has refused to hand over the mooring to the new owner of Norman island saying the govt is making it impossible for him to hand them over - the case in a nutshell - who should he use as he will not use either of the QCs that you sd no to. My friend is called Dr henry JArecki

Thanks

G

At 12:21 PM 10/29/2002 +0000, you wrote:
<blockquote type=cite class=cite cite>Moverly Smith did not act for Bunn. He has a background in chancery - ie
general commercial with strong background in government work - he is quite
young just made up to QC - ie not with a lot of experience as a QC.
Nick
Warren is Chairman of the Chancery Bar - very nice - good reputation, very
dry - no charisma - typically deals with dry as dust trust cases. End of
the day if you are recommending anyone you always need to know about the
case as QCs are very much horses for courses. But bottom line Moverly Smith
is young and relatively inexperienced. Warren - nice but dry as dust.
Neither are great on charisma and neither are top drawer and absolutely
would not recommend either if any live witnesses are being called - it's not
their bag. What is your friend looking for? Bruiser? Charisma? What type
of case his he trying to win and who is up against?

> -----Original Message-----
> From:<x-tab> </x-tab>[REDACTED]
[SMTP: [REDACTED]]
> Sent:<x-tab> </x-tab>28 October, 2002 4:53 PM
> To:<x-tab> </x-tab>Kevin Maxwell
> Subject:<x-tab> </x-tab>Re: Victoria Aitken
>
>
> Boo - got your message - no problem. A friend of mine is looking to hite a
> lawyer and he is interviewing Steven MOVERLEY SMITH (QC I THINK FOR
> ROBERT
> BUNNAND NICHLAS WArren a trustee for of one of major schemes in Maxwell
> affair? Any thoughts on either of them
>
> G
> On Mon, 28 Oct 2002 08:56:42 -0000 Kevin Maxwell
< [REDACTED]
> wrote:
>
> > Victoria is now living in New York - Please add
> > her to your party list!
> > Lots of love
> >

> > > -----Original Message-----
> > From:<x-tab>&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp</x-tab>victoria
Aitken
> > [SMTP: [REDACTED]
> > Sent:<x-tab>&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp</x-tab>25 October, 2002
6:16 PM
> > To:<x-tab>&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp</x-tab>[REDACTED];
> > [REDACTED] </br>> > Cc:<x-tab>&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp&nbsp</x-tab>[REDACTED]
> > Subject:<x-tab>&nbsp&nbsp</x-tab>Re: Thanks
> >
> > Yes, please tell her to get in touch and to
> > call me . I met her the other
> > day at an opening. Best, Victoria x
> >
> > > [REDACTED] wrote:
> >
> > Thanks so much for a good lunch and
> > conversation today. Also thank you for
> > the wonderful Christmas present which will
> > make me a gentleman of elegance
> > again!
> >
> > Victoria Aitken's numbers etc. are: [REDACTED]
> > [REDACTED] . Cell: [REDACTED]
> > Email address: [REDACTED] I
> > know she would love to meet
> > Ghislane.
> >
> > Thanks again
> > Jonathan
> >
> >
> >
> > > [REDACTED]
> > > [REDACTED]
> > > [REDACTED]
> > > 10016
> > > Tel: [REDACTED]
> > > Cell: [REDACTED] UK: [REDACTED]
> > >
> > >
> > > ____
> > >
> > > Get a bigger mailbox -- choose a size that
> > fits your needs.
> > age.html>
> > >
> > </blockquote></html>
From ???@??? Mon Nov 04 16:55:45 2002
To: <wjc@imcingular.com> (WJC wjc)
From: "G. Max" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re:
Cc:
Bcc:
X-Attachments:

In-Reply-To: <16180476.1868534276@imcingular.com>
References: <3b4>
Message-Id: <5.0.0.25.2.20021104165428.00b09000@mail.mindspring.com>
X-Eudora-Signature: <Standard>