
From: Barry J. Cohen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, April 29, 2017 3:11 PM
To: jeffrey E.
Subject: Re: Alternative IRS Response Letter--PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Agree. Joslin said 2012 is before he became involved, and that we can tie the numbers now.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 29, 2017, at 9:45 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

It's separate from why we can't tie to our own return On Sat, Apr 29, 2017 at 9:42 AM jeffrey E.
<jeevacation@gmail.com<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:
Let's get to that answer

On Sat, Apr 29, 2017 at 9:29 AM Barry J. Cohen <[REDACTED]<mailto:[REDACTED]>> wrote:
But why would lots of other Apollo people be getting something similar if this is just about a Tom Turin mistake?

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 29, 2017, at 8:46 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

Irs says 880 understatement. TOM says 880 loss not gain . Soo. Sloppy it's difficult to decode On Sat, Apr 29, 2017 at 8:31 AM Barry J. Cohen <[REDACTED]<mailto:[REDACTED]>> wrote:
I agree the alternative letter is not great. Note that the IRS's first letter suggests that the BRH numbers are fine, but they can't figure out how we applied them to the return. The IRS's second