
From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, June 6, 2015 4:37 AM
To: jeffrey E.
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE:

If I understand what you're saying, I agree. If I want to buy something, the gold is more valuable (thanks to conventions of the social order). If I'm hungry, the food has higher value than the gold (independent of such conventions). But I'm not sure I see what follows.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, June 05, 2015 8:44 AM
To: Jeffrey Epstein; Noam Chomsky
Subject:

there is gold in the refrigerator. there is food in the refriegeator. . . , 1. does either the physical gold or food have more intrinsic value.? . depends . is the value the same to both owner and , consumer.? depends , need , time frame ? if so how does one calculate it. what if there is more than two players. 2 exchangability (first order derivative) not the thing itself but what one can do with it. - it depends 3. the piece of pape or hunk of useless metal that "states" there is gold in the fridg ? is that money. ?you get the idea does there have to be actual gold in the fridge for it to be accepeted by others of something of "value". (depends on trust and belief system). yesterdays question - If the sentence " there is gold in the fridge " is either spoken or written . does that change its value? . buyer, seller, trust ,belief system ?

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved