
From: Kathy Ruemmler [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, January 5, 2015 1:08 AM
To: jeffrey E.
Subject: Re: ok?

Don't use rehear again -- sounds less authentic if used twice. Revised suggestion to streamline:

These are stale, rehashed allegations that lawyers are now attempting to repackage and spice up by adding the names of prominent people. The allegations, which are outlandish on their face and discredited by the evidence, were made in a civil case in which Mr. Epstein is not a party.

Sent from my iPad

On Jan 4, 2015, at 7:55 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

nbc wants to run a comment? These are the very same old salacious leftover allegations that lawyers are now attempting to spice up and rehear by adding the names of world leaders. and prominent people. Filed in the case of jane does vs the Govt. not Mr Epstein, Mr Epstein is not even a party in that case. The evidence has established and continues to establish that the allegations are total fabrications

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved