

---

**From:** David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2016 4:40 PM  
**To:** jeffrey E.  
**Subject:** Re:

I'm confused. You did an experiment with 200 girls where you held their hands and rating them? Or that's what you're suggesting for me?

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 12:30 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

you don't have to be a scientist to understand the concept of proof. ♦=A0 does massage work? not a science question, but some think it does. can you prove to anyone that your intuitive abilities are repeatable. verifiable, =. probably not. but is ok. with vast experience, chinese doctors can tell if someone's kidneys are working properly, =C2♦ the eyes are a little dull and yellow, it's not science but a pretty good predictor. . the other experiment =ou can try if you want is hold their hands. write down what you feel. , and afterwards have them choose which best describes their characteristics. I did this with =00 girls. each one had to rate the accuracy of diagnosis on a scale of 1-10. the results ranged from 3-10.=C2♦ however, the written diagnosis was exactly the same for each, no one like the results. =eliving is more fun

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:57 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

I don't mind anything you're saying - I am definitely not a scientist, don't claim to be, and don't know much about experiments and design. <=div>

I feel like it'd be good to talk it out? I say what I can do, and somebody who knows science tells me how we can test that, until we arrive at a solution that works both ways?

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 16, 2016, at 11:44 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@mail.com> <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

I like you, I am trying to be kind, that is not science. . if you make people feel better and no one is injured, that's the best to you. how about being blindfolded and guessing their name. the outcome must be verifiable, ♦=A0 not you are shy, sometimes. angry other times. ♦=A0 the trick of mentalists that do much better than that. they could take a strength finder test, and then you could take it with empathy for them, there are 100 questions see if you got the more than 80 percent right.

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:24 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

Jeffrey,

Just an additional thought. A vision, actually--

What if I did readings blindfolded? So I held someone's hand and felt into them. With such limited visual/tactile information, it would be a real test of my empathic abilities. It would almost validate "the sixth sense."

Then maybe we could compare my ability to read people with an average person's ability to read people?

<=iv>

Just brainstorming here...

Very best,  
David

On Mon, Aug 15, 2016 at 9:28 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]> wrote:

I would love to do that. Definitely has higher probability of success.

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 15, 2016, at 8:52 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

On Sun, Aug 14, 2016 at 10:32 PM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hi Jeffrey and G,

Earlier today, I sat down with 15 pictures and 15 names and tried to match them. It turned out to be quite a struggle -- primarily because people were very similar. For instance, I'd have descriptions like this:

Shy but relatively happy on the outside  
Filled with frustration and anxiety  
Burning with rage deep down

But out of the 15 people, that could vaguely describe 3 or 4 them. So my matching ended up looking like a convoluted chart.

What I concluded was that I need a bit more help designing this experiment. Ideally, rather than matching 15 names to pictures, I have a bunch of binary decisions (A or B). One would expect me to get 50% right. If the people are emotionally different enough (happy v. sad, for instance) I hypothesize I will do considerably better than chance. Does that make sense?

with Gino as well.

I have started to go down this road with my friend at NYU. Happy to discuss

happening on that end.

And of course, happy to submit to MRI machines and the like to figure what's

Open to any and all thoughts. Science, clearly, is not my forte.

Very best,  
David

On Tue, Aug 9, 2016 at 1:49 PM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>

>Hi Jeffrey,

Sorry it took a beat to respond.

This weekend, when I have space and time, I'll ask a friend to lay out some pictures and names and see how I do. And I'll report back what I learn. C2

I've been thinking about this for a while -- and I do feel we ultimately need a more rigorous experiment. But I like your idea, at least to start, of just giving it a good and seeing.

Great meeting you.  
David

<=r>

Sent from my mobile device<=div>

On Aug 7, 2016, at 6:22 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

David, if you can do the name to photo test. in a 2 picture test. it could be an interesting beginning, A0, I suggest you take twenty women all available on google or facebook and try. ,

<=iv>

--

A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the

addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may

constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

thereof is strictly prohibited

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part

and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may

constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

strictly prohibited

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is

and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by

and

return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevac=ation@gmail.com> ,

destro= this communication and all copies thereof,  
including all attachments. =opyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained i= this communication is  
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged,=may  
constitute inside information, and is intended only for  
the use =f the addressee. It is the property of  
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclos=re or copying of this  
communication or any part thereof is strictly pro=ibited  
and may be unlawful. If you have received this  
communication =n error, please notify us immediately by  
return e-mail or by e-mail to =a href="mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com"

target="\_blank">jeevacation@gmai=.com, and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,  
inc=uding all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved