

Cecil//+

Chris lane is the man in charge of the interstate compact in florida//. The interstate compact dictates that Receiving states MUST now take probationers . But it is the sending states discretion on whether or not to apply. That is the norm „ As the receiving state is responsible for the cost of supervision, In the past states had previously turned down transferees. The interstate compact does not now allow the state to turn down transfers. Normally as “ community control” has to be a heightened and hence, more expensive supervision,i.e. once a week reporting (as opposed to once a month)and on weekends and holidays.) Florida usually does not ask another state to take on the added expense. As compared to the normal probation it costs more, so that in my case the VI has to agree to the same terms and conditions as the normal community control provisions that I would be subject to if I stayed.

I think best that the head of the compact commission for the VI – Ms. Swan should deal with Chris Lane (Floridas’ interstate compact administrator) . [REDACTED]
[REDACTED], my assistant has spoken to him previously and he thought this was accomplished with little fuss. The question that remains is where on my side do we start.. The probation officer, ([REDACTED]) ,his supervisor, [REDACTED] (Neither one knows anything about this yet) or the probation compact person, the person in the office that usually handles transfers. I will get his number. //.
Someone on this end must fill out the transfer request forms. I assume [REDACTED]