my question relate to the metabphor of genetic conflict in=a single indiv , is there an equivalent . machine internal com=etition

On Mon, =ay 7, 2018 at 8:06 PM Joscha Bach ██████████████████████████ > wrote:

I think that the whole game is internal. All our pleasure an= pain are caused by satisfying or frustrating our needs, which are (roughl= speaking) implemented in our reptilian brain. Our neocortex is modeling t=ese needs as purposes, so we can regulate our behavior for satisfying our =eeds.

For example, the physical pain, and hunger and th=rst exist on the level of needs, and survival is a purpose, a kind of mode=ing abstraction, as are food and drink.
Many of our purposes are=outside of the organism, such as relationship purposes, and social purpose=. Even our aesthetic purposes (like Jeffrey enjoying an intellectual stand=ff between Bannon and Summers) are not really related to the organism.

While the needs are somewhat hardcoded, our reaction =o them is not (we can modulate pain and pleasure experiences, we can route=our regulation around satisfying our needs, and we can repurpose our needs=for competence, dominance, affiliation, and aesthetics for almost arbitrar= goals. By changing the regulation goals (which is always internal to our =ind) we change the payoff matrix.

=br>

On May 7, 2018, =t 8:43 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

i posit that thre is an internal game with its own payoff ma=rix , separate from the external . is =here a machine internal state equivalent.

--

=C2 please note

1

--001a11482f90abee23056ba78b7f-- conversation-id 7203 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1525742230 flags
8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 819822