

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2016 10:33 AM  
**To:** Deepak Chopra  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: thought

i really like the concept of usefule construct vs us=ls. consruct go going?

On Wed, Oct 12, 2016 at 5:54 AM, Deepak Chopra=<[REDACTED]>  
wrote:

see below

2013 Costa Del Mar Road  
Carlsbad, CA 92009  
Chopra Foundation <<http://www.choprafoundation.org>>  
Jiyo <<http://jiyo.com>>  
Chopra Center for Wellbeing <<http://chopra.com>>

For more information visit: RadicalBeauty.com <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>> <<http://radicalbeau=y.com/>> <=p>

<=span>

---

From:=Deepak Chopra  
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 5:52 AM  
To: Rudolph Tanzi  
Cc: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: thought

DNA , bacteria, brain --anything humans have given names to are human constructs --the entire universe including what we call "God"=is human construct. Reality is awareness and its excitations experienced =s observer and observed -classification and description into form and phenomena are human constructs-products of language--linguist=c, mathematical, scientific --useful but not fundamental

2013 Costa Del Mar Road  
Carlsbad, CA 92009  
Chopra Foundation <<http://www.choprafoundation.org>>  
Jiyo <<http://jiyo.com>>  
Chopra Center for Wellbeing <<http://chopra.com>>

For more information visit: RadicalBeauty.com <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>> <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>> <=p>

<=span>

---

From:=Rudolph Tanzi <[REDACTED]>  
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 9:50:29 PM  
To: Deepak Chopra  
Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: thought

Every universe of every being is their own construct. Those with similar DNA agree most on the construct. The universe of a bacterium in a dish is much different than ours, which is close to that of our dog while the bacterium's is closer to a yeast. These are all valid universes changing with the DNA-encoded perception of each species.

On Oct 11, 2016, at 8:40 PM, Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]> wrote:<div>

I cannot in all honesty  
I believe that the universe we experience is totally a human construct=C2◆

Deepak Chopra

2013 Costa Del Mar Road  
Carlsbad, CA 92013

New Book: Radicalbeauty.com <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>>  
<<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

On Oct 11, 2016, at 8:38 PM, [REDACTED]> wrote:

From: "Sperry Andrews" <[\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])> style="font-size:12pt" =argt="=\_blank">[REDACTED]>

"Deepak, Sperry, This is PERFECT. Love, Suzanne" =C2◆What makes mutual understanding "perfect" ? I find, the challenge here on this list, and in life, appears precarious at times. Well, yes. Humans are not always stable, get easily frightened.

When we are, consciously aware of our non-verbal sensory/emotional experience - which reliably allows our verbal-analytic minds to integrate their abstract concepts with what is intimately being sensed and felt - we seem to agree. <ont color="#9932cc">Yes, but (1) you describe an ideal ego-free state. =C2◆(2) It seems to be this is not yet 1 goal for the whole group so we don't benefit from task unification as we did before the list mergings. Their makes it harder but also better. Otherwise, we go round and around in circles - as if caught up in a dictionary of terms with different meanings for each of us - often times becoming strident about what we wish to share more intelligently via mutual understanding. (3) That's the different, opposing agendas. I for one would love to see us aspire to paradigm change. In the absence of sharing non-verbally - in a fully embodied way - as one single Self/Self aware consciousness, we learn from suffering - </ont>to un-learn◆=A0these habits.

On Fri, Oct 7, 2016 at 5:28 AM, [REDACTED]

Deepak, Sperry,

This=is PERFECT.

Love,  
Suzanne

-----Original Message-----

From: Sperry Andrews <[REDACTED]>

To: Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED] >=

<[REDACTED]>=

Sent: Thu, Oct 6, 2016 6:32 p=

Subject: Re: thought

With every new instant of perceptual activity, I feel our mortal forms=more closely approximating what is constant.

On Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 2:51 PM, Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]> wrote:

I find it existentially exhilarating

Deepak Chopra

2013 Costa Del Mar Road  
Carlsbad, CA 92013

New Book: Radicalbeau=y.com <http://=radicalbeauty.com/>

[REDACTED] <http://www.radicalbeauty.com/> >

Cc: Chopra Foundation <[REDACTED]> Carlo Monsanto

[REDACTED] >; daniel [REDACTED] =aramein

[REDACTED] ; ELIZABETH A. RAUSCHER [REDACTED] =aramein

[REDACTED] ; Jim Beichler <[REDACTED]>;

Karla Galdamez <[REDACTED]>; Rudy Tanzi <=[REDACTED]>;

[REDACTED] ; Subhash Kak

>; Glen Rein

[REDACTED]; Menas Kafatos [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]>; Millard

Wohl <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thu, Oct 6, 2016 2:36 pm

Subject: Re: thought

contemplating math symbolically,

when we divide 1 by an infinitely large number

we most closely approximate 0.

$1/\infty = 0$ ,

This " I " experiences being a singular unity that is continuously being divided by infinite variations on a theme,

re-creating what " I " AM BEing as an awakeness that is receiving and reflecting some sense of all that ever was, is and will be, as no body going nowhere,

unborn, deathless, immeasurable, indivisible, timeless consciousness.<=div>

On Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 11:25 AM, Sperry Andrews =span dir="ltr">

[REDACTED]> wrote:

That which is 'not a thing' cannot be divided--contributing an=essential, indispensable, 'eternal' constant to all forms.

On Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 11:05 AM, [REDACTED]

Hugs, Sperry,

Hoor=y! You acknowledge there is form.

Your=last sentence, however, for me, does not deal with form enough.

The point=unfolded to make the ultimate case for indivisibility but even points are=C2◆ divisible.

Immortal=font size="4"> is a◆=A0theological concept which I believe applies (like eternal) only in =he sense that atoms recycle.

Love,  
Suzanne

-----Original Message-----

From: Sperry Andrews <[REDACTED]>

To: Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]>

Cc: <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wed, Oct 5, 2016 2:06 pm

Subject: Re: thought

This - below - appears to be so to this " I. " Does =ny of 'this' make sense to any of 'you' ?

Without both hydrogen and oxygen there would be no water--which makes trans=ent life possible.

The zen chant we all know, form is formlessness, formlessness is form, can =e experienced by humanity as whole.

Without formlessness form would not transform in space over time. With=ut form formlessness would be nothing at all.

These two ingredients constantly mixing together, perpetuate their cha=acteristics. Formlessness uniting all as one,

while forms attempt to perfect their capacity to be like formlessness=indivisible, immortal, all knowing consciousness.

On Wed, Oct 5, 2016 at 5:58 AM, Deepak Cho=ra <[REDACTED]> wrote:

"I " is not a person  
The is no such thing as a person .  
You are never the same person as a child infant teen ager older man/  
woman=C2◆

"I" is the awareness in which the so called person arises and subsides as an intermittent stream of sensations images feelings and thoughts=. These in turn arise in "I" are known in "I"= and are made out of "I". "I" is infinite being (=formless') having a finite experience as form . The form is an illusion as it is phenomena - an activity of the formless . All forms are phenomena . All phenomena arise and subside in every moment of now .The formless is the only reality . It is timeless being . You cannot hold on to a time bound phenomenon . It is not a "thing" . Even the "you" that you call "I" is a time-bound phenomenon .

Nothing to hold on to and no one doing the holding on = Freedom in Being =3D

Yoga

Deepak Chopra

2013 Costa Del Mar Road  
Carlsbad, CA 92013

New Book: Radicalbeauty.com <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>>

<<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

On Oct 5, 2016, at 5:33 AM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hugs, Deepak:

A thought about I Am The Universe:

There are millions of people, IN THE MAINSTREAM, who =say, for example, Greg Braden's books about cyclical universes. I= they feel, accurately, that the universe is on an irreversibly downward spiral, I don't think they'll be calmed or comforted by a concept claiming what your title =claims.

Can you find some way to say what you must while adding to it?

Much love,  
Suzanne

Dr. Rudolph E. Tanzi>  
Joseph. P. and Rose F. Kennedy Professor of Neurology  
Harvard Medical School  
Vice-Chair, Neurology; Director, Genetics and Aging Research Unit  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
114 16th Street  
Charlestown, MA, 02129  
[REDACTED]

<http://www.mghmind.org/faculty/Tanzi>

<<http://www.mghmind.org/faculty/Tanzi>>

<http://dms.hms.harvard.edu/neuroscience/fac/tanzi.php>

<<http://dms.hms.harvard.edu/neuroscience/fac/tanzi.php>>

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com)

[target="\\_blank">jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved