
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 10:33 AM
To: Deepak Chopra
Subject: Re: Fw: thought

i really like the concept of usefule construct vs us=lss. consruct go going?

On Wed, Oct 12, 2016 at 5:54 AM, Deepak Chopra=<[REDACTED]>
wrote:

see below

2013 Costa Del Mar Road
Carlsbad, CA 92009
Chopra Foundation <<http://www.choprafoundation.org>>
Jiyo <<http://jiyo.com>>
Chopra Center for Wellbeing <<http://chopra.com>>

For more information visit: RadicalBeauty.com <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>> <<http://radicalbeau=y.com/>> <=p>

<=span>

From:=Deepak Chopra
Sent: Wednesday, October 12, 2016 5:52 AM
To: Rudolph Tanzi
Cc: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: thought

DNA , bacteria, brain --anything humans have given names to are human constructs --the entire universe including what we call "God"--is human construct. Reality is awareness and its excitations experienced by observer and observed -classification and description into form and phenomena are human constructs-products of language--linguistic, mathematical, scientific --useful but not fundamental

2013 Costa Del Mar Road
Carlsbad, CA 92009
Chopra Foundation <<http://www.choprafoundation.org>>
Jiyo <<http://jiyo.com>>
Chopra Center for Wellbeing <<http://chopra.com>>

For more information visit: RadicalBeauty.com <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>> <<http://radicalbeauty.com/>> <=p>

<=span>

From: Rudolph Tanzi <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 11, 2016 9:50:29 PM
To: Deepak Chopra
Cc: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Subject: Re: thought

Every universe of every being is their own construct. Those with similar DNA agree most on the construct. The universe of a bacterium in a dish is much different than ours, which is close to that of our dog while the bacterium's is closer to a yeast. These are all valid universes changing with the DNA-encoded perception of each species.

On Oct 11, 2016, at 8:40 PM, Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I cannot in all honesty

I believe that the universe we experience is totally a human construct

Deepak Chopra

2013 Costa Del Mar Road
Carlsbad, CA 92013

New Book: Radical Beauty.com <<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

<<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

On Oct 11, 2016, at 8:38 PM, [REDACTED] wrote:

From: "Sperry Andrews" <[\[REDACTED\]](#)> style="font-size:12pt" target="_blank">

"Deepak, Sperry, This is PERFECT. Love, Suzanne" What makes mutual understanding "perfect"? I find, the challenge here on this list, and in life, appears precarious at times. Well, yes. Humans are not always stable, get easily frightened.

When we are, consciously aware of our non-verbal sensory/emotional experience - which reliably allows our verbal-analytic minds to integrate their abstract concepts with what is intimately being sensed and felt - we seem to agree. Yes, but (1) you describe an ideal ego-free state. (2) It seems to be this is not yet 1 goal for the whole group so we don't benefit from task unification as we did before the list mergings. Their makes it harder but also better. Otherwise, we go round and around in circles - as if caught up in a dictionary of terms with different meanings for each of us - often times becoming strident about what we wish to share more intelligently via mutual understanding. (3) That's the different, opposing agendas. I for one would love to see us aspire to paradigm change. In the absence of sharing non-verbally - in a fully embodied way - as one single Self/Self aware consciousness, we learn from suffering - to un-learn these habits.

On Fri, Oct 7, 2016 at 5:28 AM, [REDACTED]

Deepak, Sperry,

This is PERFECT.

Love,
Suzanne

-----Original Message-----

From: Sperry Andrews <[REDACTED]>

To: Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thu, Oct 6, 2016 6:32 p=
Subject: Re: thought

With every new instant of perceptual activity, I feel our mortal forms more closely approximating what is constant.

On Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 2:51 PM, Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]>
<mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

I find it existentially exhilarating

Deepak Chopra

2013 Costa Del Mar Road
Carlsbad, CA 92013

New Book: Radical Beauty .com <<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

[REDACTED] <<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>> >

Cc: Chopra Foundation <[REDACTED]>

Carlo Monsanto

>; daniel [REDACTED]

ELIZABETH A. RAUSCHER [REDACTED]

=aramein

; Jim Beichler <[REDACTED]>;

Karla Galdamez <[REDACTED]>; Rudy Tanzi <[REDACTED]>

Subhash Kak

[REDACTED]; Glen Rein

[REDACTED]; Menas Kafatos [REDACTED]; Millard

Wohl <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Thu, Oct 6, 2016 2:36 pm

Subject: Re: thought

contemplating math symbolically,

when we divide 1 by an infinitely large number

we most closely approximate 0.

$1/\text{infinity} = 0$,

infinite variations on a theme,

This "I" experiences being a singular unity that is continuously being divided by

re-creating what "I" AM BEing as an awakensess that is receiving and reflecting

some sense of all that ever was, is and will be, as no body going nowhere,

unborn, deathless, immeasurable, indivisible, timeless consciousness.<=div>

On Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 11:25 AM, Sperry Andrews <[REDACTED]>

> wrote:

That which is 'not a thing' cannot be divided--contributing an essential, indispensable, 'eternal' constant to all forms.

On Thu, Oct 6, 2016 at 11:05 AM, [REDACTED]

>

Hugs, Sperry,

Hooray! You acknowledge there is form.

Your last sentence, however, for me, does not deal with form enough.

are=C2?divisible.

The point=unfolded to make the ultimate case for indivisibility but even points

Immortal=font size="4"> is a=A0theological concept which I believe applies
(like eternal) only in =he sense that atoms recycle.

Love,
Suzanne

-----Origin=I Message-----

From: Sperry Andrews [REDACTED]

To: Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wed, Oct 5, 2016 2:06 pm

Subject: Re: thought

of 'you' ?

This - below - appears to be so to this " I. " Does =ny of 'this' make sense to any

trans=ent life possible.

Without both hydrogen and oxygen there would be no water--which makes

experienced by humanity as whole.

The zen chant we all know, form is formlessness, formlessness is form, can =e

Without formlessness form would not transform in space over time. With=ut
form formlessness would be nothing at all.

These two ingredients constantly mixing together, perpetuate their
cha=acteristics. Formlessness uniting all as one,

while forms attempt to perfect their capacity to be like formlessness-
=indivisible, immortal, all knowing consciousness.

On Wed, Oct 5, 2016 at 5:58 AM, Deepak Cho=ra <[REDACTED]>

[REDACTED] > wrote:

"I " is not a person

The is no such thing as a person .

You are never the same person as a child infant teen ager older man/

woman=C2?divisible.

"I" is the awareness in which the so called person arises and subsides as an intermittent stream of sensations images feelings and thoughts=. These in turn arise in "I" are known in "I"= and are made out of "I". "I" is infinite being (=ormless ') having a finite experience as form . The form is an illusion as it is phen=mena - an activity of the formless . All forms are phenomena . All phenome=a arise and subside in every moment of now .The formless is the only reali=y . It is timeless being . You cannot hold on to a time bound phenomenon . It is not a "thing =" ; Even the " you " that you call " I " is a time=bound phenomenon .

Nothing to hold on to and no one doing the holding on = Freedom in Being =3D

Yoga

Deepak Chopra

2013 Costa Del Mar Road
Carlsbad, CA 92013

New Book: Radicalbeauty.com <<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

<<http://www.radicalbeauty.com/>>

On Oct 5, 2016, at 5:33 AM,

[REDACTED] wrote:

Hugs, Deepak:

A thought about I Am The Universe:

There are millions of people, IN THE MAINSTREAM, who buy, for example, Greg Braden's books about cyclical universes. I= they feel, accurately, that the universe is on an irreversibly downward spiral, I don't think they'll be calmed or comforted by a concept claiming what your title claims.

Can you find some way to say what you must while adding to it?

Much love,
Suzanne

Dr. Rudolph E. Tanzi
Joseph P. and Rose F. Kennedy Professor of Neurology
Harvard Medical School
Vice-Chair, Neurology; Director, Genetics and Aging Research Unit
Massachusetts General Hospital
114 16th Street
Charlestown, MA, 02129


<http://www.mghmind.org/faculty/Tanzi>

<http://www.mghmind.org/faculty/Tanzi>

<http://dms.hms.harvard.edu/neuroscience/fac/tanzi.php>

<http://dms.hms.harvard.edu/neuroscience/fac/tanzi.php>

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [a href="mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com"](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com)

[target="_blank">jeevacation@gmail.com](#), and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved