
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2016 9:36 PM
To: Darren Indyke
Subject: Re: Privileged and Confidential

I think we should start with not 20 girls but two charges

On Thursday, 22 September 2016, Darren Indyke <[REDACTED]> wrote:

THIS IS PART OF THE PRE-TRIAL STIP THAT TONJA WILL PROPOSE TO JACK SCAROLA. I GIVE YOU EDWARDS' PROPOSED STATEMENT AND YOURS, BUT WE CANNOT EDIT EDWARDS' PROPOSED STATEMENT. I HAVE BEEN TOLD BY ALL THAT WE MUST KEEP THE STATEMENT OF THE CASE TONED DOWN. PLEASE ADVISE. THANKS.

9. C2 Short, Plain Statement of the Case Which Will Be Read to the Jury:

Edwards' Proposed Statement:

Jeffrey Epstein was criminally accused of engaging in illicit sexual activity with multiple under-aged females. More than 20 persons alleging to be victims of Epstein's crimes brought civil suits against Epstein. Several of those persons were represented by Attorney Bradley Edwards. While the claims on behalf of those persons were being prosecuted by Edwards, it was publicly disclosed that the senior partner in the law firm that employed Edwards, Scott Rothstein, had conducted a fraudulent scheme which, in part, had used the claims against Epstein to induce investors to buy interests in non-existent settlements. Rothstein's scheme raised hundreds of millions of dollars and was one of the largest frauds in U.S. history.

After the Rothstein scheme unraveled and the fraud was publicly disclosed, Epstein went to his attorneys and arranged for a lawsuit to be filed against Edwards. Epstein's attorneys then filed suit against Edwards alleging that he was a knowing participant in Rothstein's fraud. Epstein later settled the claims being prosecuted by Edwards on his client's behalf, but Edwards continued to pursue an action challenging the plea deal Epstein struck with the Federal government.

Bradley Edwards defended against Epstein's lawsuit, challenging it on the grounds that it had no legal or factual support. Shortly before the Court was scheduled to rule on Mr. Edwards' challenge, Epstein dropped all of his claims against Edwards. Bradley Edwards has now sued Jeffrey Epstein alleging that the sole reason for Epstein's lawsuit was an attempt to intimidate Edwards into abandoning or compromising his clients' interests.

Epstein's Proposed Statement:

Jeffrey Epstein was a Defendant in three civil suits brought by Bradley Edwards as Plaintiffs' attorney. During the time that the litigation was pending, Edwards became a partner at the law firm of Rothstein Rosenfeldt Adler (RRA), which was a front for the largest Ponzi scheme in South Florida history. The cases against Epstein that were being prosecuted by Edwards while he was a partner at RRA were used to further the Ponzi scheme and defraud investors of millions of dollars. Edwards's partner at RRA and the Co-Defendant in this case, Scott Rothstein, plead guilty to this scheme and is serving fifty (50) years in federal prison. Several other attorneys and co-conspirators have plead guilty or have been convicted in connection with this Ponzi scheme and are serving time, or did serve time, in federal prison.

Epstein learned of the use in the Ponzi scheme of the cases Edwards was prosecuting against Epstein while Edwards was a partner at RRA. From detailed pleadings in lawsuits filed against RRA, Epstein learned how Edwards's actual Epstein case files were shown to investors in the Ponzi scheme to persuade them of the substantial value of the Epstein cases and of investments in fictitious settlements of similar cases against Epstein. These facts, together with Edwards's actions in the Epstein cases, and the pleadings and discovery filed by Edwards, specifically during the timeframe that Edwards was a partner at RRA, supported Epstein's reasonable belief that Epstein's claims against Edwards were supported by existing facts, and Epstein, through counsel, filed suit against Edwards and his partner Rothstein. Edwards immediately filed his Counterclaim for Abuse of Process and Malicious Prosecution. Only the Malicious Prosecution claim remains. Epstein dismissed his case against Edwards, without prejudice, because of the difficulty he was having gathering discovery and other information to prove his case due to adverse rulings from the Court regarding discovery, the inability to get documents from the Trustee for RRA, and the ongoing federal investigation into RRA and the Ponzi scheme. >

--

=C2 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved