

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2016 4:31 PM  
**To:** David Sauvage  
**Subject:** Re:

you dont have to be a scientist to underatnd the concept o= proof. does massage work? not a=science question , but some think it does. can you prove=to anyone that your intuitive abilities are repeatable. =erifiable, . probably not. but is ok. =ith vast experience , chinese doctors can tell if someones kidneys are wor=ing properly , the eyes are a little dull and yellow, ◆=A0 its not science but a pretty good predictor. . the ot=er experiment you can try if you want is hold their hands. wri=e down what you feel. , and afterwards have them choose =hich best describes their charcateristics. I=did this with 200 girls. each one had to rate the accuracy of =iagnosisi on a scale of 1-10. the results ra=ged from 3-10. however, the writ=en diagnosis was exactly the same for each, no one like the re=ults . beliveing is more fun

<=r>

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:57 AM, David Sauvage= [REDACTED] wrote:

I don't mind anything you'r= saying - I am definitely not a scientist, don't claim to be, and don&=39;t know much about experiments and design.

I feel like it'd be good to talk it out? I say what I can do, and som=body who knows science tells me how we can test that, until we arrive at a=solution that works both ways?

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 16, 2016, at 11:44 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:j=evacation@gmail.com> > wrote=

I like you,=C2◆ I am trying to be kind, that is not science. . if =ou make people feel better and no one is injured , all the best to you.◆=A0 how about being blindfolded and guessing their =ame. the outcome must be verifiable, not you are s=y , sometimes. angry other times . the trick of mentalis=s that do much better than that. they could take a strength fi=der test, and then you could take it with empathy for them, ◆=A0 there are 100 questions see if you got the more than 80 per=ent right.

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:24 AM, David Sauvage [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] wrote:

Jeffrey,

Just an additio=al thought. A vision, actually--

What if I did readings blindfolded? So I held someone's hand and felt into them. With such limited visual/tactile information, it would be a real test of my empathic abilities. It would almost validate "the sixth sense."

Then maybe we could compare my ability to read people with an average person's ability to read people?

Just brainstorming here...

Very best,  
David

On Mon Aug 15, 2016 at 9:28 AM, David Sauvage wrote:

I would love to do that. Definitely has higher probability of success.

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 15, 2016, at 8:52 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

I greatly appreciate the honesty, I don't think it will work with pictures. but might work face to face. if it will work at all. if so I would try 10 women, and ten names. you will need to touch each one.

On Sun, Aug 14, 2016 at 10:32 PM, David Sauvage wrote:

Hi Jeffrey and Gino,

Earlier today, I sat down with 15 pictures and 15 names and tried to match them. It turned out to be quite a struggle -- primarily because people were very similar. For instance, I'd have descriptions like this:

Shy but relatively happy on the outside

Filled with frustration and anxiety

Burning with rage deep down

But out of the 15 people, that could vaguely describe 3 or 4 of them. So my matching ended up looking like a convoluted chart.

What I concluded was that I need a bit more help designing this experiment. Ideally, rather than matching 15 names to pictures, I have a bunch of binary decisions (A or B). One would expect me to get 50% right. If the people are emotionally different enough (happy v. sad, for instance) I hypothesize I will do considerably better than chance. Does that make sense?

Gino as well.

I have started to go down this road with my friend at NYU. Happy to discuss with

happening on that end.

And of course, happy to submit to MRI machines and the like to figure what's

Open to any and all thoughts. Science, clearly, is not my gift.

Very best,  
David

On Tue, Aug 9, 2016 at 1:49 PM, David Sauvage wrote:

Hi Jeffrey,

Sorry it took a beat to respond.

This weekend, when I have space and time, I'll ask a friend to lay out some pictures and names and see how I do. And I'll report back what I learn.

I've been thinking about this for a while -- and I do feel we ultimately need a more rigorous experiment. But I like your idea, at least to start, of just giving it a good and seeing.

Great meeting you.  
David

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 7, 2016, at 6:22 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

david, if you can do the name to photo test. in a 25 picture test. it could be an interesting beginning, I suggest you take twenty women all available on google or facebook and try.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is

confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

attorney-client privileged, may

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

thereof is strictly prohibited

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

privileged, may

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

prohibited

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly and may be unlawful. If you have received this

communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved