

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2016 4:54 PM  
**To:** David Sauvage  
**Subject:** Re:

if you said she had brown eyes, it wouldnt apply to just anyone. you said she was girly, the dress was a girly dress. you said she was rigid, astrologer language. . there were many photos of people in the room, = chose not to ask you to pick names given in random order. ◆=A0 have your subjects write their own descriptions of themselves◆=A0 . shy - 1- 10. rigid 1-10. curious 1-10. then choose who wrote which=one. try 20 people.

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 1:48 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]> <mailto:[REDACTED]> wrote:

That's cool.

<=r>

Question for you: when I read your lady-friend in the room, did you feel I was tapping into something real? Or did you feel I was just saying random stuff that would apply to anyone, like the astrologer?

David

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 12:44 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

i told them that the astrologer in the room could tell them their diagnosis. they all received the exact same piece of paper, however they all rated the astrologer as between good and perfect

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 10:39 AM, David Sauvage <=a href='[REDACTED]' target='\_blank'>davidrsauvage@gmail.com> wrote:

I'm confused. You did an experiment with 200 girls where you held their hands and rating them? Or that's what you're suggesting for me?

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 12:30 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

you dont have to be a scientist to understand the concept of proof. ◆=C2 does massage work? not a science question, but some think it does. can you prove to anyone that your intuitive abilities are repeatable. verifiable, . probably not. but is ok. with vast experience, chinese doctors can tell if someones kidneys are working properly, ◆=A0 the eyes are a little dull and yellow, its not science but = pretty good predictor. . the other experiment you can try if you want is hold their hands. write down what you feel.◆=C2 , and afterwards have them choose which best describes their characteristics. I did this with 200 girls. each one had to rate the accuracy of diagnosis on a scale of 1-10. the results ranged from 3-10. ◆=C2 however, the written diagnosis was exactly the same for each, no one like the results. believing is more fun

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:57 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

I don't mind anything you're saying - I am definitely not a scientist, don't claim to be, and don't know much about experiments and design.

I feel like it'd be good to talk it out? I say what I can do, and somebody who knows science tells me how we can test that, until we arrive at a solution that works both ways?

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 16, 2016, at 11:44 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>

<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

I like you, I am trying to be kind, that is not science. . if you make people feel better and no one is injured, all the best to you. How about being blindfolded and guessing their name. The outcome must be verifiable, not you are shy, sometimes angry other times. the trick of mentalists that do much better than that. they could take a strength finder test, and then you could take it with empathy for them, there are 100 questions see if you got the more than 80 percent right

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:24 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jeffrey,

Just an additional thought. A vision, actually--

What if I did readings blindfolded? So I held someone's hand and felt into them. With such limited visual/tactile information, it would be a real test of my empathic abilities. It would almost validate "the sixth sense."

Then maybe we could compare my ability to read people with an average person's ability to read people?

Just brainstorming here...

Very best,

David

<div class="gmail\_extra">

On Mon, Aug 15, 2016 at 9:28 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]>

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

I would love to do that. Definitely has higher probability of success.

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 15, 2016, at 8:52 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

I greatly appreciate the honesty, but I don't think it will work with pictures.  
but might work face to face. if it will work at all. if so I would try 10 women, and ten names. you will need to touch  
each one.

On Sun, Aug 14, 2016 at 10:32 PM, David Sauvage <  
<[REDACTED]>> wrote:

Hi Jeffrey and Gino,

Earlier today, I sat down with 15 pictures and 15 names and tried to match  
them. It turned out to be quite a struggle -- primarily because people were very similar. For instance, I'd have  
descriptions like this:

<div>Shy but relatively happy on the outside  
Filled with frustration and anxiety  
Burning with rage deep down

But out of the 15 people, that could vaguely describe 3 or 4 them. So  
my matching ended up looking like a convoluted chart.

</div>

What I concluded was that I need a bit more help designing this experiment.  
Ideally, rather than matching 15 names to pictures, I have a bunch of binary decisions (A or B). One would expect me to  
get 50% right. If the people are emotionally different enough (happy v. sad, for instance) I hypothesize I will do  
considerably better than chance. Does that make sense?<div>

I have started to go down this road with my friend at NYU. Happy to discuss  
with Gino as well.

Open to any and all thoughts. Science, clearly, is not my gift.

Very best,  
David

On Tue, Aug 9, 2016 at 1:49 PM, David Sauvage Great meeting you.  
David

</div>

<=div>

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 7, 2016, at 6:22 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

david, if you can do the name to photo test. in a 25 picture test. it could be  
an interesting beginning, , I suggest you take twenty women available on google or facebook and try. =C2

--

=C2 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may

constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited

and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,

including all attachments. =opyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

attorney-client privileged, may  
thereof is strictly prohibited  
<mailto:jeevac=ation@gmail.com> , and

The information contained in this communication is<=r>confidential, may be  
constitute insid= information, and is intended only for  
the use of the addressee. It is =he property of  
JEE  
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of thiscommunication or any part  
and may be un=awful. If you have received this  
communication in error, please notify =s immediately by  
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com  
destro= this communication and all copies thereof,  
including all attachments. =opyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

target="\_blank">jeevacation@gmai=.com, and

The information contained i= this communication is  
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged,=may  
constitute inside information, and is intended only for  
the use =f the addressee. It is the property of  
JEE  
Unauthorized use, disclos=re or copying of this  
communication or any part thereof is strictly pro=ibited  
and may be unlawful. If you have received this  
communication =n error, please notify us immediately by  
return e-mail or by e-mail to =a href="mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com"  
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,  
inc=uding all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com) <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com) target="\_blank">jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved