
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2016 4:54 PM
To: David Sauvage
Subject: Re:

if you said she had brown eyes, it wouldnt appy to j=st anyone. you said she was girlie, the dress was a girl=e dress. you said she was rigid, astro=ger language. . there were many photos of people in the room, = chose not to ask you to pick names given in random order. ♦=A0 have your subjects write their own desc[i]tions of themselves♦=A0 . shy - 1- 10. rigid 1-10. cu=ious 1-10. then choose who wrote which=one. try 20 people.

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 1:=48 AM, David Sauvage <████████> <mailto:████████> wrote:

That's cool.

<=r>

Question for you: when I read your lady-friend in the room, d=d you feel I was tapping into something real? Or did you feel I was just s=ying random stuff that would apply to anyone, like the astrologer?

David

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 12:44 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

i told them that the astroler in the room could tell them the=r diagnosis. they all received the exact same piece of p=per , however they all rated the astrologer as between good an perfe=

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 10:39 AM, David Sauvage davidrsauvage@mail.com> wrote:

I'm confused. You did an experiment with 200 girls where you h=ld their hands and rating them? Or that's what you're suggesting f=r me?

On Tu=, Aug 16, 2016 at 12:30 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>=span> wrote:

you dont =ave to be a scientist to underatnd the concept of proof. =C2♦ does massage work? not a science question , but so=e think it does. can you prove to anyone that your intui=ive abilities are repeatable. verifiable, . =robably not. but is ok. with vast experience , chi=eze doctors can tell if someones kidneys are working properly , ♦=A0 the eyes are a little dull and yellow, its not science but = pretty good predictor. . the other experiment you can t=y if you want is hold their hands. write down what you feel.=C2♦ , and afterwards have them choose which best descr=bes their charcateristics. I did this with 200 gir=s. each one had to rate the accuracy of diagnosisi on a scale =f 1-10. the results ranged from 3-10. =C2♦ however, the written diagnosis was exa=tly the same for each, no one like the results . belive=ng is more fun

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:57 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I don't mind anything you're saying - I =m definitely not a scientist, don't claim to be, and don't know mu=h about experiments and design.

I feel like=it'd be good to talk it out? I say what I can do, and somebody who kno=s science tells me how we can test that, until we arrive at a solution tha= works both ways?

Sent from my mobile device

On=Aug 16, 2016, at 11:44 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

I like you, I am tr=ing to be kind, that is not science. . if you make peopl= feel better and no one is injured , all the best to you. ♦=A0 how about being blindfolded and guessing their name. =he outcome must be verifiable, not you are shy , sometim=s. angry other times . the trick of mentalists that do m=ch better than that. they could take a strength finder test,=C2♦ and then you could take it with empathy for them, there =re 100 questions see if you got the more than 80 percent right=

On Tue, Aug 16, 2016 at 9:24 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Jeffrey,

Just an additional thought.=A vision, actually--

What if I did readings blindfolded? So = held someone's hand and felt into them. With such limited visual/tact=le information, it would be a real test of my empathic abilities. It would=almost validate "the sixth sense."

Then=maybe we could compare my ability to read people with an average person ^=s ability to read people?

Just brainstormi=g here...

Very best,
David
<=iv class="gmail_extra">

On Mon, Aug 15, 20=6 at 9:28 AM, David Sauvage <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I would lo=e to do that. Definitely has higher probability of success.

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 15, 2=16, at 8:52 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

I greatly appreciatei the honesty,=C2♦ I dont think will work with pictures. but might wo=k face to face. if it will work at all. if so I would t=y 10 women, and ten names. . you willl need to touch eac= one. .

On Sun, Aug 14, 2016 at 10:32 PM, David Sauvage <
<[REDACTED]>> wrote:

Hi Jeffrey and Gino,

Earlier toda=, I sat down with 15 pictures and 15 names and tried to match them. It tur=ed out to be quite a struggle -- primarily because people were very simila=. For instance, I'd have descriptions like this:

<=iv>Shy but relatively happy on the outside
Filled with frustrati=n and anxiety
Burning with rage deep down

But out of the 15 people, that could vaguely describe 3 or 4 them. So my=matching ending up looking like a convoluted chart.

</=iv>

What I concluded was that I need a bit more help designing this ex=eriment. Ideally, rather than matching 15 names to pictures, I have a bunc= of binary decisions (A or B). One would expect me to get 50% right. IF th= people are emotionally different enough (happy v. sad, for instance) I hy=otheresize I will do considerably better than chance. Does that make sense?<=div>

I have started to go down this road with my friend=at NYU. Happy to discuss with Gino as well.

Open to any and all =houghts. Science, clearly, is not my gift.

Very b=st,
David

On Tue, Aug 9, 2016 at 1:49 PM, David Sauvage Great meeting you.
David

<=div>

<=div>

Sent from my mobile device

On Aug 7, =016, at 6:22 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

david, if you can do th= name to photo test. in a 25 picture test. i= could be an interesting begining, , I suggst you take t=enty women all availbel on google or facebook and try. =C2◆ ,

--

=C2◆ please note

The information contained in this communication =s confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute in=ide information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It =s the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of thi= communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be=unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jee=acation@gmail.com> , and

des=roy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachment=. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is<=r>confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute insid= information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is =he property of JEE

thereof is strictly prohibited

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of thiscommunication or any part and may be un=awful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify =s immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<mailto:jeevac=tion@gmail.com> , and

destro= this communication and all copies thereof,

attorney-client privileged, may

thereof is strictly prohibited

<mailto:jeevac=tion@gmail.com> , and

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is<=r>confidential, may be

constitute insid= information, and is intended only for
the use of the addressee. It is =he property of
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of thiscommunication or any part

and may be un=awful. If you have received this
communication in error, please notify =s immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

destro= this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. =copyright -all rights reserved

target="_blank">jeevacation@gmai=.com, and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
inc=uding all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is<=r>confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is =he property of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be un=awful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify =s immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destro= this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. =copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained i= this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged,=may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use =f the addressee. It is the property of JEE Unauthorized use, disclos=re or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly pro=ibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication =n error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to =a href="mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com" target="_blank">jeevacation@gmai=.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, inc=uding all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved