
From: [REDACTED] >
Sent: Sunday, August 14, 2016 11:03 AM
To: Jeffrey Epstein
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: [REDACTED]

Jeffrey, there are several sides to this:

1. Computers that are technically different from our current digital =omputer architectures, but can do the same things, because they can =athematically be proven to be equivalent, and we can build a digital =equivalent. Examples are computers with ternary logic, neural networks, =actor graphs etc.
2. Probabilistic computers: instead of deterministic state transitions, =hey change state with a certain probability. We can get them to =pproximate determinism with arbitrary precision by stacking the =robabilistic gates. Many cognitive scientists and AI researchers =elieve that brains are in that category. (We can also reproduce their =ehavior on a digital computer by adding random noise.)
3. Computers that are technically different from our current digital =omputers, but are still mathematically equivalent, yet it may not be =ractical to build a digital equivalent, because it would be too large =r too slow. Examples are DNA computers, chaotic computers etc. Digital =hysicists ([REDACTED]) believe that even the universe =s in this category. [REDACTED] thinks the brain is in this =category (we need to build electronic simulations of spiking neurons).
4. Quantum computers: they still cannot do anything but manipulate =information, but they can (hopefully one day) do a few things =fficiently, like factoring large numbers, so they are in principle more =owerful than conventional computers. [REDACTED] thinks the universe is =n this category, and [REDACTED] thinks the brain is in this category.
5. Hypercomputers with true continuum dynamics. Such computers can solve =he 3 body problem with infinite precision in finite time etc. (Most of) =traditional physics believed that the universe must be continuous, and =ven contemporary physics usually has continuous time etc. Such =omputers can be approximated to an arbitrary degree by digital =omputation, but not reproduced exactly. Most computer scientists with =n opinion on the matter think that such hypercomputers cannot exist.
6. Hypercomputers with true infinities, which can use an infinite number =f inputs to compute a result in finite time. For instance, in this =iew, all of the universe (not just a finite amount of information in =ts lightspeed cone) could affect a single point.
7. A-causal computers: For instance, a universe with time machines could =end information that you compute today to help you in your past. =athematically, such computers can be described, but there is no =ndication that they could exist.
8. Reversible computers: A reversible computer cannot delete =information, i.e. every state has exactly one preceding state. =everisible computers can be easily implemented in a normal digital =omputer, but a reversible computer that tries to implement a digital =omputer will accumulate entropy in the form of garbage bits. I believe =hat our universe is a reversible computer (our brain is obviously not).

The list is not exhaustive, but I think these are the most relevant =ategories of unconventional/alternative computation, from a theoretical =erspective. Additionally, there are notions of things that are "more =han computation" in any of the senses above. They involve referential =ematics, normative/social semantics and other dark magic. [REDACTED] and

many other philosophers believe that our brains and the =niverse do "more than computation", but they do not have good concepts =o explain or formalize their ideas. They probably cannot have such =concepts, because they would have to leave the domain of mathematics =i.e. formal languages) for them, so there is very little to talk about =except for negative claims ("computers cannot do X").

> On Aug 13, 2016, at 15:55, jeevacation@gmail.com wrote:
>
> =tp://uncomp.uwe.ac.uk/LCCOMP/Anuncios/Entries/2015/8/31_UCNC_2015.ht
> ml
>
> On Sat, Aug 13, 2016 at 6:36 AM, [REDACTED] > wrote:
> Looks interesting. Haven't seen it before. Sounds like =omething [REDACTED] would know.
>
>> On Aug 13, 2016, at 6:23 AM, jeevacation@gmail.com >rote:
>>
>> Natural/Unconventional Computing and Its Philosophical Significance -
>> =DPI MDPI > pdf
>>
>>
>> Have you guys looked at this?
>>
>>
>> --
>> please note
>> The information contained in this communication is confidential, may
>> be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and
>> is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of
>> JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or
>> any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you
>> have received this communication in error, please notify us
>> immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com,
>> and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all
>> attachments. copyright -all rights reserved
>>
>
>
>
>
> --
> please note
> The information contained in this communication is confidential, may
> be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and
> is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of
> JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or
> any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you
> have received this communication in error, please notify us
> immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com,
> and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all
> attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

<?xml version=.0" encoding=TF-8"?>

```
<!DOCTYPE plist PUBLIC "-//Apple//DTD PLIST 1.0//EN" "http://www.apple.com/DTDs/PropertyList-1.0.dtd">
<plist version=.0">
<dict>
  <key>conversation-id</key>
  <integer>72464</integer>
  <key>date-last-viewed</key>
  <integer>0</integer>
  <key>date-received</key>
  <integer>1471172571</integer>
  <key>flags</key>
  <integer>8590195717</integer>
  <key>gmail-label-ids</key>
  <array>
    <integer>6</integer>
    <integer>2</integer>
  </array>
  <key>remote-id</key>
  <string>635581</string>
</dict>
</plist>
```