
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2016 1:45 PM
To: Deepak Chopra
Subject: Re: Entanglement Made Simple

poetry allows one to paint their own meaning on similar words.

I would like you consider the following. =A0 during the industrial revolution, descriptions of man=became mechanical. , everyone looking for new mech=nisms. ie machine movements. machines need power to run =n their natural state they are OFF. default position OFF=C2

people have default ON.=C2 each piece trying to stay on.

freud=described falling asleep. and dreams turning on. =C2 I believe that incorrect. I believe that dreams , the in=er workings are ALWAYS on. consiouness gets turn ON when=awake and OFF when asleep. . it takes energy to keep up =he consciousness screen ON sleep provides the time re charge.=C2 . the voices the schizo hears are his own, but his=conscious „doesnt make the distinction between inside and outside. =nd is certain others are speaking to him/ the all have sleep disorders. =A0 . and keeping a person awake forcefully in prison leads to =hem repeatedly hearing voices. (their own inner ?) . =A0 I believe that drugs that turn off your consciousness during the d=y, allow more direct access to inner workings but not useful as they proba=ly cannot be modified. ie you and I eating french fries :)

</=iv>

if you consider consiounes a user interface, between inner working=and self. . language becomes the way that inners speaks to sel=. chomsky now agrees with me.

self aware is =ricky, is the computer screen aware of the processor or process behind it?=C2 the camera on our skype is it aware " of the image? =C2 light adjusting screens seems aware of the level of ambi=nt light. .

I ve been doing some remarkable=experiments. .

fr

On Mon, Aug 15, 2016 at 7:23 AM, De=pak Chopra <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Time present and time past
Are both perhaps present in time future
And time future contained in time past.
If all time is eternally present
All time is unredeemable.
What might have been is an abstraction
Remaining a perpetual possibility Only in a world of speculation.
What might have been and what has been

Point to one end, which is always present. Footfalls echo in the memory Down the passage which we did not take Towards the door we never opened Into the rose-garden. My words echo Thus, in your mind.

=C2 =C2 =C2 But to what purpose

Disturbing the dust on a bowl of rose-leaves I do not know.

=C2 =C2 =C2 Other echoes

Inhabit the garden. Shall we follow?






The Chopra Foundation <<http://www.choprafoundation.org/>> <<http://www.choprafoundation.org/>>

<http://ecx.images-amazon.com/images/I/51ryZ4SaSrL._SL500_SS115_.jpg>

<<http://www.amazon.com/Super-Genes-Astonishing-Optimum-Well-Being/dp/0804140138/deepakchcom07-20>>

<<http://www.amazon.com/Super-Genes-Astonishing-Optimum-Well-Being/dp/0804140138/deepakchcom07-20>> Super Genes: Unlock the Astonishing Power of Your DNA for Optimum Health and Well-being
<<http://www.amazon.com/Super-Genes-Astonishing-Optimum-Well-Being/dp/0804140138/deepakchcom07-20>>

<=span>

From: Robert Addinall <
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2016 9:14:52 AM
To: 'Brian Josephson'
Cc: Deepak Chopra; 'JACK SARFATTI'; 'Stanley A. KLEIN'; 'Ruth Kastner'; 'nick herbert'; 
<<mailto:> >; 'George Knap';  >; 'Anthony Gantner'; 'David Kaiser'; 'Saul-Paul Sirag'; 'fred alan wolf'
Subject: RE: Entanglement Made Simple

Interesting way of putting it Brian. It seems, a bit, to incorporate your ideas about signs being important. It would also seem that it could overlap with Ruth's theory - Ruth also seems to be saying that at any decision point there are a number of possible futures out there and you can choose which one to go to through a process.

I tend towards the block universe view myself but there's a lot of good discussion to be had here.

-----Original Message-----

From: Brian Josephson [mailto:]

Sent: August-15-16 4:33 AM

To: Robert Addinall

Cc: Deepak Chopra; JACK SARFATTI; Stanley A. KLEIN; Ruth Kastner; nick herb; [mailto:]; George Knapp; [mailto:]; Anthony Gantner; David Kaiser; Saul-Paul Sirag; fred alan wolf
Subject: Re: Entanglement Made Simple

> On 15 Aug 2016, at 03:25, Robert Addinall [mailto:] > wrote:
>

> Deepak's statement is very broad, however, being in an outside-of time transcendental state where the universe appears to be spontaneous simultaneous emergence of space time events would not contradict the block universe model. You would see past, present and future coming into being together and there would be no problem with causality running both ways.

The future is not here now: what is here now is the process that will lead to the future. Think of it being like a bus with a destination on it: that sign indicates where it will be, but currently it is somewhere else. And by getting on a particular bus we can determine our own future, but we are not there at the moment.

Brian

Brian D. Josephson

Emeritus Professor of Physics, University of Cambridge Director, Mind-Matter Unification Project Cavendish Laboratory, JJ Thomson Ave, Cambridge CB3 0HE, UK

WWW: <http://www.tcm.phy.cam.ac.uk/~bdj10> <<http://www.tcm.phy.cam.ac.uk/~bdj10>>
[redacted]

--

=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by

return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved