
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2016 12:30 PM
To: Deepak Chopra
Subject: Re:

evolution is only for living things. oth=r things express " change" , need not confuse the two.♦=A0 . I think the more you refer to similarities between =our views and nietsche schopenhauer, the scientist, will =etreat a bit . I would forever banish the use of t=e word quantum. it is a math concept that is fact argues again=t your view. . quantum means smallest piece. ie digital, = your views are analog , wholeness is not quantum, ♦=A0 unless you believe that consciousness in broken into tiny pieces t=at interact, throw the word in the dustbin. Tell the s=ientists that you recognize that the term causes concern so yo= have chosen to abandon it. humility will get you far. =. your argument would be bolstered by science is only one method of unders=anding , mathematics its tool, . you are looking in rea=ms opaque to mathematical inquiry, . hope, for example. =its value, how to employ it, why. ? we wil= have some face time before the 18th of sept . I promise you will be=well prepared. you can also ask them if anyone theyve ever seen on a=death bed, looks to mathematics for the answer. ::))

On Sun, Jul 17, 2016 a= 8:18 AM, Deepak Chopra <[REDACTED]> >
wrote=

I wish there was a simpler way to say this! Krauss would be dismissive -I believe

Nature at all levels displays -evolution , self organization, self regul=tion, interactive creativity, sentience (fundamental awareness) , complem=ntarity (wave/particle, mind/body)

The problem with physicalists is -they dismiss this as mere philosophy -=Krauss , Dawkins, Cox, Neil DeGrasse Tyson and others say -we have no use =or philosophy .

Dawkins calls me a " willful obscurantist . " At a lecture in =altech recently he said I was more dangerous than religious fundament=list . Part of me wants to let it go --there is no winning or losing here =

Will need your advice re Sept 18th meeting

I'm finished teaching my course and leave for Australia on Tuesday.=C2♦

All love

2013 Costa Del Mar Road
Carlsbad, CA 92009
The Chopra Foundation <<http://www.choprafoundation.org/>> <<http://w=w.choprafoundation.org/>>

<http://ecx.images-amazon.com/images/I/51ryZ4SaSrL._SL500_SS115_.jpg>

<<http://www.amazon.com/Super-Genes-Astonishing-Optimum-Well-Being=dp/0804140138/deepakchcom07-20>>

<<http://www.amazon.com/Super-Genes-Astonishing-Optimum-Well-Being=dp/0804140138/deepakchcom07-20>> Super Genes: Unlock the Astonishing Power of Your DNA for Optimum Health and Well-being
<<http://www.amazon.com/Super-Genes-Astonishing-Optimum-Well-Being=dp/0804140138/deepakchcom07-20>>

<=span>

From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>>>
Sent: Sunday, July 17, 2016 8:02:17 AM
To: Deepak Chopra
Subject:

Nietzsche <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philosophy_of_Friedrich_Nietzsche> .

Opposed to this interpretation, the "will to power" can be understood (or misunderstood) to mean a struggle against one's surroundings that culminates in personal growth, self-overcoming, and self-perfection, and assert that the power held over others as a result of this is coincidental. Thus Nietzsche wrote:

My idea is that every specific body strives to become master over all space and to extend its force (its will to power) and to thrust back all that resists its extension. But it continually encounters similar efforts on the part of other bodies and ends by coming to an arrangement ("union") with those of them that are sufficiently related to it: thus they then conspire together for power. And the process goes on.[29]
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Will_to_power#cite_note-29>

It would be possible to claim that rather than an attempt to 'dominate over others', the "will to power" is better understood as the tenuous equilibrium in a system of forces' relations to each other. While a rock, for instance, does not have a conscious (or unconscious) "will", it nevertheless acts as a site of resistance within the "will to power" dynamic. Moreover, rather than 'dominating over others', "will to power" is more accurately positioned in relation to the subject (a mere synecdoche <<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Synecdoche>>, both fictitious and necessary, for there is "no doer behind the deed," (see On the Genealogy of Morals <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/On_the_Genealogy_of_Morals>)) and is an idea behind the statement that words are "seductions" within the process of self-mastery <<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Self-esteem>> and self-overcoming <<https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=3DSelf-overcoming&action=edit&redlink=1>>. The "will to power" is thus a "cosmic" inner force acting in and through both animate and inanimate objects. Not just instincts but also higher level behaviors (even in humans) were to be reduced to the will to power.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com " target="_blank">jeevacation@gmail.com, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved