
From: Rupert Sheldrake <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 10:50 AM
To: jeffrey E.
Subject: Re:

Dear Jeffrey,

Yes, I think Brian Josephson is indeed rather scattered.

I don't think Deepak is interested in deep theoretical issues so I don't think you'll succeed in moving him towards the study of probabilities. But I agree with you that it would be better if he stayed away from quantum phenomena which he doesn't know much about and which in relation to medicine and consciousness seem to me to create a cloud of scientific-sounding rhetoric which obscures rather than illuminates the problems.

I'm all for the idea of attractors, but I don't think mathematically so find it hard to grasp probability arguments. Although I think morphic fields are probability structures and work by influencing probabilities.

We leave for a remote island in British Columbia on Wednesday and I'll be away for about 2 months. But still in email contact most of the time.

Rupert

On 9 Jul 2016, at 10:53, jeffrey E. wrote:

He pointed me to Brian Josephson, seems scattered? view? I'm trying to convince Deepak to move in the realm of study of probabilities and stay away from quantum phenomena. I think nature's distributions pushing elements to be average, describes many processes. It appears that morphing all local faces into one (average) appears to be beautiful. If things are distributed on a bell curve or normal curve, just looking at the distribution could lead me to think there is a force pushing toward the middle. Maybe gravity is just that, probability of all things being equally distributed. appearing as a pseudo force (like centrifugal force). The central limit theorem might answer many mysteries. Derivation of the power laws for example. Zipf, Pareto. If the distributions are the attractors. Having even a distribution of esoteric traits like happiness could lead one to believe that if the distribution wants to stay constant. As one point on the curve (the person) if they become happier, the pseudo force would push someone else towards the average. And yes - a few times Deepak and I roared with laughter.

On Sat, Jul 9, 2016 at 5:30 AM, Rupert Sheldrake <[REDACTED]>
<[REDACTED]>

Glad to hear about your meeting. Deepak is good company, has a broad vision, and can be hilarious too.

Rupert

On 8 Jul 2016, at 1:18, jeffrey E. wrote:

depak chopra was here this morning, a great fan of =ours

--

please =ote

The information contained in this communication =s
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute =nside information, and is intended only for
the use of the =ddressee. It is the property of
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure =r copying of this
communication or any part thereof is strictly =rohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received =his
communication in error, please notify us immediately =y
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this =ommunication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. =opyright -all rights reserved

--

please =ote

The information contained in this communication =s
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute =nside information, and is intended only for
the use of the =ddressee. It is the property of
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure =r copying of this
communication or any part thereof is strictly =rohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received =his
communication in error, please notify us immediately =y
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, and
destroy this =ommunication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. =opyright -all rights reserved

=