
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 1, 2015 4:51 PM
To: Jeremy Rubin
Subject: Re: New Yorker article on insider trading:

very good, we have to be careful that the info is not stolen info. , ie belongs to the co. etc. otherwise patents etc could be traded .

=br>

On Sun, Nov 1, 2015 at 11:31 AM, Jeremy Rubin <[REDACTED]> wrote:

<http://www.newyorker.com/business/currency/making-insider-trading-legal>
<<http://www.newyorker.com/business/currency/making-insider-trading-legal>>

Of course you're probably much more up to date on such pieces than myself, but this seems like an interesting kernel to framework a cryptosystem around. If the item being passed is information which you always forward through at least a few other people before unlocking the information, and then are able to use that information to trade well (eg, with some probability p of having success based on quality of information), it operates as a probabilistic payment system where you can exchange out of the system by trading stocks in traditional systems (so you "get" a payment some p percent of the time).

Thoughts?

<=div>

--
[REDACTED]

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved