

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 1, 2015 4:51 PM  
**To:** Jeremy Rubin  
**Subject:** Re: New Yorker article on insider trading:

very good, we have to be careful that the info is no= stolen info. , ie belongs to the co. etc. o=herwise patents etc could be traded .

=br>

On Sun, Nov 1, 2015 at 11:31 AM, Jeremy Rubi= [REDACTED] > wrote:

<http://www.newyorker.com/business/currency/making-insider-trading-legal>  
<<http://www.newyorker.c=m/business/currency/making-insider-trading-legal>>

Of course you're probably much more up to date on such pieces than my=elf, but this seems like an interesting kernel to framework a cryptosystem=around. If the item being passed is informations which you always forward =through at least a few other people before unlocking the information, and t=en are able to use that information to trade well (eg, with some probabili=y p of having success based on quality of information), it operates as a p=obabilistic payment system where you can exchange out of the system by tra=ing stocks in traditional systems (so you "get" a payment some p=percent of the time).

Thoughts?

<=div>

--

[REDACTED]

--

please =ote

The information contained in this communication is confiden=ial, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside informati=n, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the propert= of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communica=ion or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If =ou have received this communication in error, please notify us immediat=ly by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail=com> , and destroy this comm=nication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -=ll rights reserved