music was also greatly transformed by the intro of notatio= (ie accessing the visual system to enhance the field)
</=iv>

On Sat, Aug 1= 2015 at 6:54 AM, Noam Chomsky ██████████████████████████████████ > wrote:

There is a view that language is esse=tially a processing system. The arguments against it seem to me very=power. I'll attach a recent paper about it, a contribu=ion to a volume of essays dedicated to Jerry Fodor and focusing on his concept=on of language as processing (input modules). His version is f=r more sophisticated than the signal processing approaches that were all t=e rage in the 1950s, drawing from the successes of wartime technology in signal analysis and Shannon's information=theory.

Noam

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, August 01, 2015 7:34 AM
To: Noam Chomsky ██████████████████████████
Subject: Re: Re:

can it be thought of as no more than signal processi=g. why not use the same technology that attempts to intercept commun=cations and decode the signals and apply it to language. =C2 normally one tries to process the signals. i wonder if they put it in reverse. and processed the language in an attempt =o find coherence.???

On Fri, Jul 31, 2015 at 6:58 PM, Noam Chomsky ██████████████████████████████ > =rote:

There is a notion of coherence in bot= cases, but how to unify them, or whether it's possible, I don =80 t really see.

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]

1

Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 1:33 PM
To: Noam Chomsky ██
Subject: Re:

what i have been calling my sense making module. .=C2   visual sense,   is the image coherent. / ? =A0   why dont you ask your young friends what they think the cho=sky questions should be.   I will provide the reward

On Fri, Jul 31, 2015 at 1:21 PM, Noam Chomsky ██ > =rote:

Marr's group, as you know, st=died edges, rigidity, etc. Those particular phenomena don't =eem relevant to language, except indirectly (as Marr and I in fact discussed often): th=re must be built-in systems that enter into language processing (including=determining grammatical status -- "legitimacy" =80 one property among many). That's what work on UG shoul= be about.

Noam

From: jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 31, 2015 12:59 PM
To: Noam Chomsky ████████████████████████████
Subject:

I hope you have fun at the institute. I =m in santa fe. .   you and i have discussed vi=ion and edges etc rigidity etc.   auto focus on a ca=era looks for the most contrast .   is there an equivalent . first step to intuit whether = sentence is legitimate ?

--

please no=e

--

please no=e

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

--