
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2015 2:37 PM
To: A. de Rothschild-2
Subject: Fwd:

as i mentioned - I thought at a minimum=, we should memorialize our long standing privileged relationship.. =C2◆ so i asked kathy to opine

----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Thu, Jul 23, 2015 at 1:27 AM
Subject: Re:
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> >

Payment doesn't need to go through=Latham.=C2◆ I do this all the time. I retained Deloitte on behalf of the bank, but the bank pays Deloitte directly. Arrangement is solely for purposes of maintaining privilege.

If you are a consultant to me at Latham, it doesn't create any of the issues you were concerned about. I would open a new client matter representing the family, and then retain you as a consultant in connection with that matter. From a privilege perspective= that is the best way to do it.

--f46d04426e18d2dacc051b8bd231-- conversation-id 109597 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1437662234 flags 8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 528102