
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 23, 2015 2:37 PM
To: A. de Rothschild-2
Subject: Fwd:

as i mentioned - I thought at a minimum, we should memorialize our long standing privileged relationship.. =C2 so i asked kathy to opine

--=----- Forwarded message -----

From: [REDACTED]
Date: Thu, Jul 23, 2015 at 1:27 AM
Subject: Re:
To: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacati=n@gmail.com> >

Payment doesn't need to go through=Latham.=C2 I do this all the time. I retained Deloitte on behalf of the bank, but t=e bank pays Delo=tte directly. Arrangement is solely for purposes of maintaini=g privilege.

If you are a consultant to me at Latham, it does='t create any of the issues you were concerned about. I would op=n a new client matter representing the family, and then retain you as a co=sultant in connection with that matter. From a privilege perspective= that is the best way to do it.

--f46d04426e18d2dacc051b8bd231-- conversation-id 109597 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1437662234 flags 8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 528102