

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 22, 2015 7:39 PM  
**To:** Daphne Wallace  
**Subject:** Re: R.O. & Generator Bldg. Revisions

page 9 elevaion is wrong should extend past te= wall

On Wed, Apr 22, 2015 at 3:14 PM, Daphne Wallace <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Gree=ings Sir,

Revisions from John for your review and comme=ts.

Respectfully, Daphne

----- Forwarded message -----

From: John P. Woods, AIA <[REDACTED]>  
Date: Wed, Apr 22, 2015 at 2:03 PM  
Subject: R=O. & Generator Bldg. Revisions  
To: Daphne Wallace <[REDACTED]>, =ecile de Jongh <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]>, ann rodriquez <[REDACTED]> <mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Daphne,

◆=A0

Attached are the revised drawings for =he R.O. & Generator Building Roofing project. These changes are based upon comments from Mr. Epstein at a recent site visit on Friday, April 17, =015. In summary, the changes requested are as follows:

1. ◆=C2◆ The longer building (R.O. Bldg.) will be expand=d to a width of 60 feet. There will be roll up doors on both of the short =nds. The two long sides will have no openings. The exterior sheathing shal= be a typical metal siding provided by the steel building manufacturer. Th= eave height of the building shall be reduced to 12 feet to minimize the h=ight of the structure. This will also result in the roll up door height be=ng reduced to 10 feet.

2. ◆=A0 The shorter building (Generator Bldg.) will have e=terior sheathing that is the typical metal siding provided by the steel bu=lding manufacturer. The height will be increased to 20 feet to accommodate=a roll up door 16 feet in height. This is the minimum height established b= the LSJ maintenance staff to accommodate the roll on roll off activities =f the dumpsters.

=/u>

I would like to add some observations regarding the change in heights of the two buildings. For one, it doesn't make sense to minimize the height of one building while the other must be full 8 feet higher. The Generator building now looks out of proportion with that extra height. What may be more appropriate in my opinion is to consider not roofing where the dumpsters will be located and extend the parapet-wall up another 3 – 4 feet to be almost 9 feet above the roof slab= This will do a lot to visually screen the dumpsters. You should also consider replacing the dumpsters with enclosed units that have compactors incorporated into them. Some of these type of dumpsters are presently being used in very visible sites on St. Thomas, particularly at WICO. Finally, = have also included the revised floor plan for the Maintenance & Works=op Building, eliminating the Break Room.

JPW

=/div>

---

<<http://www.avast.com/>> This email is free from viruses and malware because avast! Antivirus <<http://www.avast.com/>> protection is active.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com) <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright all rights reserved