

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, April 3, 2015 8:09 PM  
**To:** Michael Wolff  
**Subject:** Re: to think about...

lets think about it, im in town this week lets meet<=r>

On Fri, =pr 3, 2015 at 12:00 PM, Michael Wolff [REDACTED] > wrote:

I think these are the options:

- 1) If it seems too fr=ught, we can abandon--ho harm, no foul.
- 2) If it seems =orthwhile to have this on the record, but to contain it too, then I'd =ecommend the London Times. I know them well, and have spoken to them, and =hey'd love to do it--and will not subject it to a difficult review pro=ess. The Times has an online paywall which limits distribution and will cu= down on the social media backlash.
- 3) We could a=so do this in the US with less stringent (or paranoid) publications. USA T=day will do it, for instance, without a belabored review.
- 4) New York Magazine lends an imprimatur to it, however--an= if you think that's important, I can go back to them and try to work =ut a more acceptable way to vet the piece. There are other imprimatur plac=s, New York Times Magazine, for instance, but they will be just as stringe=t as New York Magazine.

--

please =ote

The information contained in this communication is confiden=ial, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside informati=n, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the propert= of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communica=ion or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If =ou have received this communication in error, please notify us immediat=ly by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail=om> , and destroy this comm=nication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -=ll rights reserved