
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 16, 2017 1:29 AM
To: Erika Kellerhals
Subject: Re:

In addition the large head of household and cuts to the bottom, will decimate the low income tax base further. No growth to compensate. I don't understand the earmark issue

On Fri, Dec 15, 2017 at 8:13 PM Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED]> <mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

I am absolutely disgusted.

Erika A. Kellerhals
Member
Kellerhals Ferguson Kroblin PLLC

</=iv>
9053 Estate Thomas, Suite 101
St. Thomas VI 00802
<=iv>

Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED] <=div>

On Dec 15, 2017, at 8:58 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

pretty late in the game for that determination/explanation. that seems so clear. weird

On Fri, Dec 15, 2017 at 7:48 PM, Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED]>
<mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

Here's the explanation we go from Crapo's tax guy

The conference report language is now posted on the House Rules Committee website. Tony may have already told you guys, since he was in the conference, but we found out from Team Hatch as the conference wrapped up that our USVI provisions had to be dropped out. A0 Tony may have more insight but apparently JCT ultimately determined that the language as written would also apply to Puerto Rico, leading to a revised core of \$3.9 billion, which was more than they could accommodate in the bill. When they tried to find a way to adjust the language to only apply to the VI, they found it couldn't be done without it being considered an ineligible tax earmark. I'm still waiting for more details about how and why JCT ended up with this new interpretation. But sadly it appears after all our efforts we are now back to the drawing board.

Erika A. Kellerhals
Member
Kellerhals Ferguson Kroblin PLLC

9053 Estate Thomas, Suite 101
St. Thomas VI 00802

Office: [REDACTED]
Cell: [REDACTED] <tel:[REDACTED]>

On Dec 15, 2017, at 8:26 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:<=r>

sourcing rules taken out in conference.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this

communication in error, please notify us immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright all rights reserved

--

<div>

please note

confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute inside information, and is intended only for
the use of the addressee. It is the property of
JEE<=r>Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this
communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received this
communication in error, please notify us immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and
destroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. copyright all rights reserved

--94eb2c0cae8ad4fe3305606b09dd-- conversation-id 20239 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1513387726 flags
8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 remote-id 778889