
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 27, 2017 5:23 AM
To: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Re: trust

ok with me , but i would add , if you are not =oing to transfer the money this week , please detail for me the reasons be=ind your decision. thx

On Fri, Oct 27, 2017 at 1:05 AM, Noam Cho=sky [REDACTED] > wrote:

I almost never use the phone, and don't=really want to get into a discussion with him. I'd prefer to kee= to mail, which will be on the record, no opportunity for misrepresentatio=.

So, do you think that letter is ok?

On Thu, Oct 26, 2017 at 9:32 PM,=jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

Why don't you call him=C2◆

On Fri, Oct 27, 2017 at 12:29 AM Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] &g=; wrote:

Max may believe that= but I find it virtually impossible to believe. Max hasn't broug=t up anything of the sort in his letters to me. If he does, or I see=any other indication of it, I'll react at once.

My =eeling is that I should first respond to his letter, basically reiterating=what I said. Do you think I ought to send the letter I sent you, bef=re bringing Deborah into it? I'd like to see if I can work this =ut directly with Max before taking any further steps.

What d= you think?

On Thu, Oct 26, 2017 a= 11:45 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

Max is s trustee afraid of being=sued by your children . It is the trust money not yours . If two of =hree of your children agree no issue , if not Deborah would have to take s=eps

On Thu, Oct 26, 2017 at 11:36 PM Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] > wrote:

This is the letter I received from Max, almost immediately after I sent him the letter below. Here's what I was thinking of responding.

There seems to be some confusion. I was responding to your letter of Oct. 16, in which you said you would be getting back to me the next day. That was the last time we were in touch. Since I didn't hear from you, I wrote again, last night.

I don't see what further explanation is necessary, or why it is necessary to bring Deborah into this. I could add that as I approach 90, having worked hard for 70 years and put away a substantial sum of money, I not only have the right to some peace and tranquillity to go on with my life and work, but also to do so without facing an inquisition.

[I could also add something about the advice and practices of Max and Sam that led to a situation where for the first time in my life, I have to face financial problems. =C2◆ But maybe not]

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Max Kohlenberg <[REDACTED]>;
Date: Thu, Oct 26, 2017 at 1:55 AM
Subject: RE: trust
To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Cc: Deborah Pechet Quinan <[REDACTED]>

Good morning (from Scotland) Noam -<=>

When we were last in touch on this I replied with a cc to Deborah (who I have copied again here) and I understood that you, she and Valeria had discussed my need (as trustee) to know something more about the background for this request and your anticipated needs going forward. Deborah got back to me and indicated that the call she and I had tentatively scheduled was being cancelled.

If you would like me to reschedule a call with Deborah, or a meeting, I'd be glad to do that. I'll be back on Tuesday.

My best,

Max

]

From: Noam Chomsky [mailto: [REDACTED]]

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2017 6:43 AM
To: Max Kohlenberg
Subject: Re: trust

<=div>

I didn't hear from you about this, and would like to what is happening in this matter. I would like to get on with my life and work without delay. I've worked hard for 70 years, put away a substantial sum of money. I surely have the right to access it. Could you let me know where this stands.<=>

Noam

On Mon, Oct 16, 2017 at 9:55 PM, Max Kohlenberg [REDACTED] wrote:

Thanks for the quick reply Noam. I will get back to you tomorrow.

Max

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>

Date: 10/16/17 9:14 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: Max Kohlenberg <[\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])>
<mailto:[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: trust

I meant \$500,000.

Noam

On Mon, Oct 16, 2017 at 9:00 PM, Max Kohlenberg [REDACTED]> wrote:

Forgive me for asking Noam, but did you mean \$500,000, or \$50,000?

Thanks,

Max

S=nt from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Noam Chomsky <[\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])>

Date: 10/16/17 6:44 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: <[\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])>
<mailto:[REDACTED]>

Subject: trust

Dear Max,<=u>

=/span>

I would like to ask =or a distribution from one of the marital trusts, for \$500,000, to deal
wi=h current expenses of mine.

=/span>

Thanks for your cons=deration

=/span>

Noam</=pan>

=/span>

=/span>

</=iv>

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communicati=n is
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute=inside information, and is intended only for
the use of the addressee. =t is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of =his
communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
and may=be unlawful. If you have received this
communication in error, please n=tify us immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:=eevacation@gmail.com>

, and

=estroy this communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachm=nts. copyright -all rights reserved

--

please =ote

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

◆=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--94eb2c0328a6d0dda7055c807b60-- conversation-id 27093 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1509081786 flags 8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 762699