

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, November 24, 2017 11:34 AM  
**To:** Corazza, Ornella  
**Subject:** Re: R: Payment for research

thx

On Fri, Nov 24, 2017 at 3:59 AM, Corazza, Ornella <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Greetings from a freezing London! Here some group pictures from Havana ! Or=ella

Travelling. Please excuse my brevity an= eventual typos.

On 23 Nov 2017, at 21:37, Fabio Babiloni <[REDACTED]> wrote:=br>

Dear Gino,

Thank you very much for the vid=o.

I have some difficult to unders=and it. I hope you could clarify and sorry for my poor understanding.

It seems that there is a finger=of the experimenter that propose the task to some “hat person =80 who operate on the body of the “receiver”. The recei=er is connected to the system you generated that transduces the activity perceived by the receiver.</=>

The finger proposes two positio= (up and down) to the “hat person” that operates to the =E2 receiver”.

If this is the protocol, it see=s that the sensitivity of the slider is somewhat too high. In particular, =t seems that the “receiver” sometimes move quickly for the=same activity of the “hat person” back and forth to the full scale (+ and -= of the slider.

If I correctly understood, and =his could not be the case, there is the possibility that such high gain of=the slider induces some oscillations by the "receiver" jus= because he/she will not control too much the movement of the slider.

These oscillations (induced by =he not complete control of the slider behavior by the receiver) could act =s a confound factor in the analysis. In fact, we will see oscillations not due to the "internal" representation of the perception of =he receiver but rather by her/his poor control of the slider gain.<=p>

From this video some considerat=ons arose. Please take them in the good spirit of friendship and as possib=e contribution to the generation of an efficient experimental setup. I hav= to say that I am not able at all to realize what you have done so far with=the device in some short time😊

After this disclaimer, the issu=s:

1. It could be good to regulate the gain of the slider=in such a way it could have a slower movement according to the receiver ac=ivity. It could allow more time to the receiver to focus on his/her internal feelings and it will dec=ease the oscillations reported due to the inaccurate control of the device=by the receiver.

2. It will be important for the students (=he receivers) to familiarize prior to the experience with the device. Othe=wise, we will analyze their learning curve of the slider functioning and this is not isomorphic to their percei=ed impressions and will act as a confound in the analysis. For instance, t=e experimenter will ask to code with the slider a predetermined sequence o= "intensity perceived" in such a way they could master the slider accurately. Such as "give me an=intensity 1 (the minimum), now an intensity 10 (the maximum), then an inte=sity 5, then 7, then 9, etc etc". When receivers will be able to d= that with a good accuracy of the slider movement they could be admitted to the experimental test with Alan after.

3. The device at this time seems to be able to code =ne dimension (or "light" or "energy") perc=ived by the "receiver" since there is just one slider. How=ver, this could be fine as long as we ask to the receiver to transduce only one=of such dimension into the slider movement. Thus, asking to focusing on =E2 energy perception" when compared to "light percept=on" or viceversa. This will double, however, the experimenter= duration of the test since we need to investigate separately such aspect o= their perception (maybe in two separate days).

4. The noise of slider could act as a disturb or a cue for the =80 sender". Not a major issue now but something that have to be =onsidered in a setup in which more than one receiver will interact with the "sender".</pan>

Thank you again for your effort=

All the best from Rome</=>

Fabio

\*\*\*\*\*<=br>\*\*\*\*\*

Prof. Fabio Babiloni, PhD

Ass. Editor of IEEE Transaction=on Neural System & Rehabilitation Engineering

Ass. Editor of IEEE Transaction=on Biomedical Engineering

Ass. Editor of IEEE Reviews on =iomical Engineering

Editor in Chief of Internationa= Journal of Bioelectromagnetism

Dept. Molecular Medicine, Unive=sity of Rome Sapienza

Scientific Director of BrainSig=s srl, www.brainsigns.com <<http://www.brainsigns.com/>>

Tel: + [REDACTED] <tel:+39065207657>

\*\*\*\*\*=\*\*\*\*\*

Da: Gino Yu [mailto:[REDACTED]]

Inviato: giovedì 23 novembre 2017 21:55

A: PETER FENWICK <[REDACTED]>>

Cc: Fabio Babiloni [REDACTED]; Giuseppe

Bersani [REDACTED], Ornella Corazza

[REDACTED]; Alain Forget [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] >; jeffrey E. <[jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com)> Oggetto: Re: Payment for research

Just back. Will see Gio tomorrow.

Also, see this: [https://www.d=opbox.com/s/7ejq4gconen038v/IMG\\_5363.MOV?dl=0](https://www.d=opbox.com/s/7ejq4gconen038v/IMG_5363.MOV?dl=0)  
<[https://www.dropbox=com/s/7ejq4gconen038v/IMG\\_5363.MOV?dl=0](https://www.dropbox=com/s/7ejq4gconen038v/IMG_5363.MOV?dl=0)>

I met with Ray Lee in New York. He has this pr=tocol as well:

--  
=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved