
From: Vincenzo Iozzo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 9:34 PM
To: jeffrey E.
Subject: Re: "Money is not money"

cool, I'll buy it

On 18/lug/2014, at 17:32, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

> yes, read the autobiography of money

>

>

> On Fri, Jul 18, 2014 at 3:25 PM, Vincenzo Iozzo <[REDACTED]> wrote:

> I thought a bit about this. Let me see if I understand what that sentence means:

>

> Money has no intrinsic value (even when it was linked to gold, the intrinsic value was debatable), fundamentally the value is given by two things:

> 1) Wide acceptance as an exchange medium

> 2) Trust in the country that prints the currency

>

> On top of that there's a lot of anchoring and other cognitive biases that cause the currency to oscillate in value.

> So in a way, money is a very simplified legal contract between multiple parties that is ultimately both enforced and backed by a nation-state (the 'trust' in the nation-state acts as the collateral).

>

> Is this what you meant?

>

> If that's the case there are a few interesting consequences:

> 1) Paradoxically Bitcoin has more intrinsic value than nation-state

> backed currencies. That is because mining bitcoins require natural

> resources that are limited and useful and hence valuable

> 2) In this game (and I think by extension in the stock market game as

> well) deception plays a significant role. You can make a currency

> swing if you can mess with the cognitive biases involved in the

> valuation of the currency

> 3) At any given point in time you could replace any currency with something else as long as you can convince people that that something else is both backed and enforced by a 'greater force' (in the bitcoin case, that would be cryptography). The easier it is to explain the 'greater force' the easier the adoption of that 'something else'

>

> Now one obvious way to make money out of this would be to play the deception/cognitive bias game. I think a lot of that is made illegal by the various laws against market manipulation, insider trading and what not.

> The question is: what is not covered?

>

> Obviously the other way would be to create another currency, own part

> of it and then resell it once it appreciates in value

>

> Am I making sense?

>

> Vincenzo

>
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> please note
> The information contained in this communication is confidential, may
> be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and
> is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of
> JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or
> any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you
> have received this communication in error, please notify us
> immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com,
> and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all
> attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

```
<?xml version=.0" encoding=TF-8"?>
<!DOCTYPE plist PUBLIC "-//Apple//DTD PLIST 1.0//EN" "http://www.apple.com/DTDs/PropertyList-1.0.dtd">
<plist version=.0">
<dict>
  <key>conversation-id</key>
  <integer>296636</integer>
  <key>date-last-viewed</key>
  <integer>0</integer>
  <key>date-received</key>
  <integer>1405719251</integer>
  <key>flags</key>
  <integer>8590195713</integer>
  <key>gmail-label-ids</key>
  <array>
    <integer>6</integer>
    <integer>2</integer>
  </array>
  <key>remote-id</key>
  <string>424840</string>
</dict>
</plist>
```