
From: Valeria Chomsky <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, July 19, 2018 9:45 PM
To: jeffrey E.
Cc: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Fwd:

Dear Jeffrey,

I think you are right that it is an attempt to divert attention. But I think there is also an eye in the future, preparing the path for building a case for accusations.

For example, e-mail from the three children -- Avi, Jane and Harry on Feb 6, 2018 at 9:16am:

"(...)

On a personal level, we are heartbroken to feel that we are kept at such a distance from you in your new life. We were thrilled to learn that you had found a new partner, but we were grieved when we began to realize that this meant we are rarely able to see you. Even email and telephone communication has become much more limited. We have also been increasingly distressed to see that instead of feeling happy and relaxed, you feel impoverished. You have even felt the need to hire multiple lawyers to threaten people who you had trusted for years, and who we believe have continued to do their utmost to act in your best interest and to help you navigate your new-financial situation.

<=div>

We have in fact found your letters over the past year increasingly alarming. If we felt that you were stable and content in your new life, we would probably accept the distance that has been created. But your statements, in person, and in your letters, do not give us the impression that you feel stable and content."=/div>

Here are the undeniable attempts of laying the fundamentals for a claim of undue influence and alienation of an elderly. A0 This is outrageously false.

Or statements about Noam in family's conversations: "Being intellectually active doesn't mean capable of making decisions".

Or a previous maid calling me to ask why I was not allowing the children to visit their father, as she heard from one of the daughters who told her that she had never been in the apartment, when in reality she had been there many times, by herself and with her family.

For me, there are many more important things than money. C2 And I am not selling my values and principles for whatever amount. C2 They can keep the money for themselves. If Noam wants to put money aside for me, that's his decision.

Some of these points might seem irrelevant, but I think the children's behavior has been showing a clear attempt to build a case for elderly-abuse. Hence the need of answering all the aspects of the petition, including the innuendo.

Do you disagree?

Valeria

----- Forwarded message -----

From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com><=r>Date: Thu, Jul 19, 2018 at 6:32 AM

Subject:

To: Noam Chomsky [REDACTED], Valeria=Chomsky

<[REDACTED]<mailto:[REDACTED]>>>

the attack on valeria is=only bait and a diversion to get you to lose focus. it i= irrelevant to the case. max has the authori=y to make distributions. he has abused that positi=n under harry s direction. you , noam were n=med as trustee . but resigned in favor of harry, a=judge should allow you to rescind that resignation as it appears that harr= has hostile views. and it is evidenced by his writings. =C2 responding to the attacks on valeria in a court filing i= protected from defamation. . might be emotionally satisfying = but little progress will be made. I can have a call with your=attorney at your s or his convenience

--

pleas= note

The information contained in this communication is confid=ntial, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside informa=ion, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the prope=ty of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communi=ation or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immedi=tely by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gma=l.com> , and destroy this co=mmunication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright=-all rights reserved