
From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 29, 2018 2:06 PM
To: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Re:

what i am suggesting is that what the term culture means is the same as what consciousness means. Culture can be described as Russell did for consciousness. The physics and math envy habit of trying to measure things. We experience culture, it is stubborn, transmitted, localized. contains memories. boundaries. dictum. it manifests itself in different ways but doesn't have a separate existence. It is like consciousness a human construct. no more no less. btw, is a mediation date and time set. yet? Vincent papers were good except for the amount to settle.

On Sat, Dec 29, 2018 at 8:56 AM Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

From the point of view of a jihadi, Klan, evangelical Christian, my ultra-orthodox grandfather,.... it's the rest of the world that's crazy. I think we can do better than just to take the fall-back position that craziness is a value judgment, but not so easy.

On consciousness, my suspicion is that we'll never do much better than Russell's picture: what we understand with highest confidence is our own immediate conscious experience. The rest of our intellectual efforts (science especially) are an attempt to make sense of that (and in the course of doing so, instructs us that our experience is a construct of our internal modes of cognition acting on an external world that we (naively?) assume to exist. And it also tells us, I think, that consciousness is a thin and superficial fringe of an internal mental life that is inaccessible to introspection.

On Sat, Dec 29, 2018 at 11:39 AM J <jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

cultures swept by craziness, isn't it the same for an individual. . . the brain sends signals based on faulty sensors or information. . . to see if the brain is functioning. there are word tests. memory tests. etc. . why isn't consciousness the property of those things. like culture is to fads etc.

<div dir="ltr">On Sat, Dec 29, 2018 at 8:09 AM Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Cultures unfortunately can be swept by craziness. Nazism for example. Or the Great Awakening. We're in one of those phases now. If there's a charge, it's true, in fact True. Any response is "mansplaining," another power play, reinforcing the charge. You've seen I'm sure what happened to Lawrence. A full and complete response, amounts to zero. Isn't even considered. It's like trying to discuss rationally with religious fanatics.

Noam

On Sat, Dec 29, 2018 at 10:41 AM J <jeevacation@gmail.com> <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

=div>As the stories get wilder and the writers make the girls younger= prostitution is a tabloid
s dream , . =I wondered if having something out in the cyber world that let some =eople point to rational arguments
might allow some to defend themsel=es from their wives . " how could you " etc.=C2

I =have been exploring the AI world with the cutting edge people. =I remind them of your
comments re machines thinking :)/=C2 I thought that culture was the c=nciousness of a society. tribes were like
self. .=C2 media approx transmitters. . It provided con=traints and rules for some underlying interactions.

I= doesnt exist in a physical sense but either does concio=sness. which it appears to me as an
evolutionary advantage and=C2 provides the brain with rules memories. (culture again = . and constraints. . tribes
when attacked , act =ike a self . . compete like selves. etc. The world we c=ll physical . follows rules that we have
created, most unknowingly. =C2 I assume language acts like an effective transmittier.=C2 . some language like
adrenaline. some like dopam=ne. . do some extent the hormones of the culture. ? =A0

On Sat, Dec 29, 2018 at 7:20 AM Noam Chomsky & [REDACTED]
<mailto:[REDACTED]> > wrote:

It's a powerful and convincing statement, but my f=eling is that it would not be wise to
submit it for publication. Tak=ng the stance of a reader who comes to the matter from afresh, perhaps hav=ng heard
some rumors but knowing nothing, the reaction I suspect will be o= the "where there's smoke there's fire" kind. Few =re
willing to think through the arguments and factual details or to try to=adjudicate conflicting claims. I've seen this
happen over and ov=r on other matters -- many years of having been accused of Holocaust denia=, for example.. Ugly
and bitter as it is, I suspect the best course =ow is not to stir the pot by raising the issue publicly, opening the door =o
charges and accusations that can no doubt be answered in the court of lo=ic and fairness -- but that's not the public
domain, where innuendo an= suspicion and accusation reign.

Anyway, for what it l=;s worth, that's the way it looks to me, in part on the basis of
exper=ence.

The great work that you have been doing spe=ks for itself. My feeling is that you should
keep at it, and simply =velop a thick skin to fend off whatever ugliness breaks through now and t=en, diminishing over
time.

Noam

On Sat, Dec 29, 2018 at 8:33 AM J=<jeevacation@gmail.com
<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

=m considering submitting this to the oped of the wash post id =ike your
thoughts

Sweetheart deal!" So goes t=e attack on the resolution of the more than a
decade ago federal investiga=ion involving our client Jeffrey Epstein. The attack is profoundly m=splaced, supported
neither by the law nor the facts. Nor is it supported b= the structure of our constitutional republic. To the contrary,
Jeffrey wa= subjected to an extremely aggressive federal intrusion into what would ty=ically be considered a
quintessentially local criminal matter in south Flo=ida. The offense investigated — at =ts core, sexual favors for hire —

has long been treated as a matter entrusted to laws of the several States, not the federal government. The conduct — for which Jeffrey took full responsibility — was a classic state offense and was treated exactly that way by able, honest prosecutors in Palm Beach County. Nevertheless, without a request from the state prosecutors, the federal government intervened. For their own opportunistic reasons many are now criticizing the federal decision-makers at the time, including now-Secretary of Labor Alex Acosta (then-United States Attorney in south Florida), for not going far enough.

<=>

The critics are wrong on the facts and the law. They also ignore a fact going to the heart of fundamental fairness: In the decade since paying his debt to society, Jeffrey Epstein has led a life characterized by responsible citizenship, numerous acts of generosity and good deeds.

Here are the true key facts: Jeffrey Epstein, a successful self-made businessman with no prior criminal history whatsoever, engaged in illegal conduct that amounted to solicitation of prostitution. That conduct was wrong and a violation of Florida state law. Although no coercion, violence, alcohol, drugs or the like were involved, some of the women he paid were under the age of 18. Those facts were carefully assessed by experienced state sex crime prosecutors who aggressively enforce state criminal laws. No one turned a blind eye to potential offenses to the public order. To the contrary, the Palm Beach State Attorney's Office conducted an extensive fifteen-month investigation, led by the chief of the Sex Crimes Division. Mr. Epstein was then indicted by the state grand jury on a single felony count of solicitation of prostitution.

During that intense investigation, the state prosecutors extensively gathered and analyzed the evidence, met face-to-face with many of the asserted victims, considered their credibility or lack thereof — and considered the extent of exculpatory evidence, including sworn testimony from many that they lied about being eighteen years old to be allowed into Mr. Epstein's home. After months of negotiations, the state prosecutors believed they had reached a reasoned resolution of the matter that vindicated the public interest — a resolution entirely consistent with that of cases involving other similarly-situated defendants. The system worked as it should have.

Then, in came the feds. The United States Attorney's Office extensively and aggressively investigated whether Mr. Epstein had engaged in a commercial human trafficking ring, targeted minors, or used the internet or traveled interstate in the process. But that's not what this was and that's not what happened. That is precisely why the federal authorities' ultimate decision to defer prosecution to the state was the right one. <=>

However, the federally-demanded resolution was not without conditions. The federal prosecutors insisted on various unorthodox requirements that Mr. Epstein's experienced defense team had never seen imposed on any defendant anywhere. Under the federally-forced deal, Jeffrey was required to request that the state prosecutors demand the imposition of a thirty-month sentence that included both jail time and the strictest conditions of probation: lifetime sex-offender registration. Those draconian measures were far more than warranted by the state grand jury's indictment and would not have otherwise been required under the previously agreed-upon state disposition. As part of this highly unusual deal, the government required Jeffrey to pay for a highly experienced group of attorneys to bring claims against him on behalf of a government list of asserted victims. Jeffrey was required to waive the right to challenge those claims without being provided the asserted victim's identities by the

government until after he was incarcerated. Importantly, the feds' decision to decline prosecution in deference to the state in exchange for these extraordinary requirements was reviewed and approved at the multiple levels of the U.S. Department of Justice. Jeffrey took full responsibility, complied with the feds' demands, served his sentence, and in the process was treated exactly the same (including his time served) as any other state-incarcerated individuals. His conduct while in custody was exemplary, and so characterized by the state custodial authorities.

<u>

Jeffrey Epstein has paid his debt to society. The challenges to his Agreement with the Government must also be understood as challenges to the millions Mr. Epstein paid to the asserted victims and their lawyers pursuant to that agreement. Amongst the beneficiaries of the Epstein-Federal Government Agreement were the many victims who collectively received many millions as a result of the conditions imposed on Mr. Epstein that prevented him from meaningfully contesting civil liability — moneys that would be at issue if requests to invalidate the agreement were granted.

Our nation faces vital important challenges, many involving the treatment of women and basic human dignity. Voices are rightly being raised speaking truth to power, especially about women in the workplace. But Jeffrey's offenses of yesteryear, which were entirely outside of the workplace, have long since been redressed by the criminal justice system. He fully and faithfully has performed every promise and obligation required of him by state and federal authorities. In the spirit of the bedrock American belief in second chances and fundamental fairness, that chapter in Jeffrey's otherwise-productive and charitable life should be allowed to close once and for all.

--

=A0 please note

--

=A0 please note

attorney-client privileged, may

constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

thereof is strictly prohibited

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part

and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com

<<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. Copyright -all rights reserved

--

=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>

, and

destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments.

copyright -all rights reserved

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--

=please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to

jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. Copyright -all rights reserved

--0000000000004fbd48057e29aed8-- conversation-id 317580 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1546092366 flags 8590195713 remote-id 886296