

---

**From:** Kathy Ruemmler <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Sunday, December 16, 2018 9:13 PM  
**To:** J  
**Subject:** Fwd: Attorney Client

Forgot to include the informant part. Use this version.

"Sweetheart deal! So goes the attack on the resolution of a long-ago federal investigation involving our former client -- and now-friend -- Jeffrey Epstein. The attack is profoundly misplaced, supported neither by the law or the facts, nor by the structure of our constitutional republic. To the contrary, Jeffrey was subjected to an aggressive federal intrusion into what would typically be considered a quintessentially local criminal matter in south Florida. His offense — at its core, sexual favors for hire — has long been treated as a matter entrusted to laws of the several States, not the federal government. His conduct — while clearly unlawful and for which he has accepted full responsibility — was a classic state offense and was being treated exactly that way by able, honest prosecutors in Palm Beach County. Nevertheless, far from going "soft" on the matter and without invitation from the state, the federal government intervened. Ironically, now many for their own opportunistic reasons are criticizing the federal decision-makers at the time, including now-Secretary of Labor Alex Acosta (then the United States Attorney in south Florida), for not going far enough.

The critics are wrong on the facts and the law.

Here are the true key facts: Jeffrey Epstein, a successful self-made businessman with no prior criminal history whatsoever (and who has never acted as an informant), engaged in illegal conduct that amounts to solicitation of prostitution. His conduct was wrong and a violation of Florida state law. Although no coercion, violence, alcohol, drugs or the like were involved, some of the women he paid were under the age of 18. Those facts were carefully assessed by experienced state prosecutors who aggressively enforce state criminal laws. No one turned a blind eye to potential offenses to the public order. So the contrary, the Palm Beach State Attorney's Office conducted an extensive 15-month investigation, led by the chief of the Sex Crimes Division. Mr. Epstein was then indicted by a state grand jury on a single felony count of solicitation of prostitution.

During that intense investigation, the state prosecutors extensively gathered and analyzed the evidence, met face-to-face with many of the asserted victims, considered their credibility -- or lack thereof -- and considered the extent of exculpatory evidence. After months of negotiations, the state prosecutors believed they had reached a reasoned resolution of the matter that vindicated the public interest -- a resolution entirely consistent with that of cases involving other similarly-situated defendants. The system worked as it should.

Then, came the feds. The United States Attorney's Office extensively and aggressively investigated whether Mr. Epstein had engaged in a commercial human trafficking ring, targeting minors. But that's not what this was, and the federal authorities ultimately acknowledged that, deferring prosecution to the state. But not without conditions. The federal prosecutors insisted on many nonorthodox requirements that Mr. Epstein's experienced defense team had never seen imposed on any defendant anywhere. Under the federally-forced deal, Jeffrey was required to request that the state prosecutors demand the imposition of a jail sentence and

lifetime sex-offender registration, which would not have otherwise been required under the previously agreed-upon state disposition of this prostitution charge. Importantly, the feds' decision to decline prosecution in deference to the state was reviewed and approved at the highest levels of the U.S. Department of Justice, across multiple administrations. Jeffrey accepted full responsibility for his conduct, complied with the feds' demands, served his sentence, and in the process was treated exactly the same as other state-incarcerated individuals. His conduct while in custody was exemplary, and so characterized by the state custodial authorities.

Our friend Jeffrey Epstein has paid his debt to society. He has also, upon the insistence of the federal authorities, paid out millions of dollars to the asserted victims and their lawyers. For over ten years, he has lived a good and law-abiding life, including carrying on his wide-ranging philanthropies. Those of us who represented him in the Florida proceedings -- for customary professional fees -- now count him as a trusted friend.

Our nation faces vitally important challenges, many involving the treatment of women and basic human dignity. Voices are rightly being raised speaking truth to power, especially about women in the workplace. But Jeffrey, an exemplary employer, has long since been called to account by the criminal justice system for his misdeeds of yesterday. He fully and faithfully has fulfilled every promise and obligation required of him by state and federal authorities. In the spirit of the bedrock American belief in second chances and fundamental fairness, that unhappy chapter in Jeffrey's otherwise-magnificent life should be allowed to close once and for all.

</lockquote>

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kathy Ruemmler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Re: Attorney client

Date: December 16, 2018 at 4:10:14 PM EST

To: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>

"Sweetheart deal!" So goes the attack on the resolution of a long-ago federal investigation involving our former client -- and now-friend -- Jeffrey Epstein. The attack is profoundly misplaced, supported neither by the law or the facts, nor by the structure of our constitutional republic. To the contrary, Jeffrey was subjected to an aggressive federal intrusion into what would typically be considered a quintessentially local criminal matter in South Florida. His offense -- at its core, sexual favors for hire -- has long been treated as a matter entrusted to laws of the several States, not the federal government. His conduct -- while clearly unlawful and for which he has accepted full responsibility -- as a classic state offense and was being treated exactly that way by able, honest prosecutors in Palm Beach County. Nevertheless, far from going "soft" on the matter and without invitation from the state, the federal government intervened. Ironically, now many for their own opportunistic reasons are criticizing the federal decision-makers at the time, including now-Secretary of Labor Alex Acosta (then the United States Attorney in South Florida), for not going far enough.

The critics are wrong on the facts and the law. =nbsp;

Here =re the true key facts: Jeffrey Epstein, a successful self-made =usinessman with no prior criminal history whatsoever, engaged in =llegal conduct that amounts to solicitation of prostitution. His =conduct was wrong and a violation of Florida state law. Although =o coercion, violence, alcohol, drugs or the like were involved, some of =he women he paid were under the age of 18. Those facts were carefully assessed by =xperienced state prosecutors who aggressively enforce state criminal =aws. No one turned a blind eye to potential offenses to the =ublic order. To the contrary, the Palm Beach State Attorney's =ffice conducted an extensive 15-month investigation, led by the chief =f the Sex Crimes Division. Mr. Epstein was then indicted by a =tate grand jury on a single felony count of solicitation of =rostitution.

During that intense investigation, the state prosecutors =xtensively gathered and analyzed the evidence, met face-to-face with many of the asserted =ictims, considered their credibility -- or lack thereof -- and =onsidered the extent of exculpatory evidence. After months of =egotiations, the state prosecutors believed they had reached a reasoned =esolution of the matter that vindicated the public interest -- a =esolution entirely consistent with that of cases involving other =imilarly-situated defendants. The system worked as it should. =nbsp;

Then, =n came the feds. The United States Attorney's Office extensively =nd aggressively investigated whether Mr. Epstein had engaged in a =ommercial human trafficking ring, targeting minors. But that'= not what this was, and the federal authorities ultimately =cknowledged that, deferring prosecution to the state. But not =ithout conditions. The federal prosecutors insisted on many =orthodox requirements that Mr. Epstein's experienced defense =eam had never seen imposed on any defendant anywhere. =nbsp;Under the =federally-forced deal, Jeffrey was required to request that the =tate prosecutors demand the imposition of a jail sentence and =ifetime sex-offender registration, which would not have otherwise been =equired under the previously agreed-upon state disposition of this =rostitution charge. Importantly, the feds' decision to decline =rosecution in deference to the state was reviewed and approved at the =ighest levels of the U.S. Department of Justice, across multiple =ministrations. Jeffrey accepted full responsibility =or his conduct, complied with the feds' demands, served =is sentence, and in the process was treated exactly the same as other =tate-incarcerated individuals. His conduct while in custody was =emplary, and so characterized by the state custodial authorities. =nbsp;

Our =riend Jeffrey Epstein has paid his debt to society. He has also, =pon the insistence of the federal authorities, paid out millions of =ollars to the asserted victims and their lawyers. For over ten =ears, he has lived a good and law-abiding life, including carrying on =is wide-ranging philanthropies. Those of us who represented him in the =lorida proceedings -- for customary professional fees -- now count him =s a trusted friend.

Our nation faces vitally important challenges, many involving =he treatment of women and basic human dignity. Voices are rightly =eing raised speaking truth to power, especially about women in the =orkplace. But Jeffrey, an exemplary employer, has long since been =alled to account by the criminal justice system for his misdeeds of =esteryear. He fully and faithfully has fulfilled every promise =nd obligation required of him by state and federal authorities. =nbsp;In the spirit of the bedrock American belief in second chances and =undamental fairness, that unhappy chapter in Jeffrey's =therwise-magnificent life should be allowed to close once and for all. =nbsp;=nbsp;

</=lockquote>

=