
From: J <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, December 16, 2018 8:14 PM
To: Ken Starr
Subject: Re: My edited version

i think you and alan. .

On Sun, Dec 16, 2018 at 2:52 PM Ken Starr <[REDACTED]<[REDACTED]>> =gt;
wrote:

The edits look good. Basic question: should this =e a piece authored by the entire team, or a more personal presentation by =wo or so members of the team?

I drafted it in a persona= way. I like that approach.

Thoughts=

Sent fr=m my iPhone

On Dec 16, 2018, at 12:20 PM, J <jeevacation@gmail.com>= wrote:

=A0with edits. - pretty good i think thoughts.

<=r>
----- Forwarded message-----
From: Kathy Ruemmler <[REDACTED]<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
Date: Sun, Dec 16,=2018 at 12:04 PM
Subject: Fwd: My edited version
To: J <jeevacation@gmail.com</=>>

=br>

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kathy Ruemmler <[REDACTED]<mailto:[REDACTED]>>
Subject: <=>My edited version

Date: December 16, 2018 at 11:49:57=AM EST

"Sweetheart deal! " =o goes the attack on the resolution of a long-ago federal investigation involving our former client -- and now-friend -- Jeffrey Epstein. The attack is profoundly misplaced, supported neither by the law or the facts, n=r by the structure of our constitutional republic. To the contrary, =effrey was subjected to an aggressive federal intrusion into what would ty=ically be considered a quintessentially local criminal matter in south Flo=ida. His offense — at its core, sexual favors for =ire — has long been treated as a matter entrusted to law= of the several States, not the federal government. His conduct =AO— while clearly unlawful and for which he has accepted full=C2 responsibility — was a classic state offense and was bei=g treated exactly that way by able, honest prosecutors in Palm Beach Count=. Nevertheless, far from going "soft" on the =AOmatter and without invitation from the state, the federal government int=rvened. Ironically, now many for their own opportunistic reasons are=criticizing the federal decision-makers at the time, including now-Secreta=y of Labor Alex Acosta (then the United States Attorney in south Florida),=for not going far enough.

The critics are wrong on the facts and the =aw.

<=ont size="4">Here are the true key facts: Jeffrey Epstein, a succe=sful self-made businessman with no prior criminal history whatsoever, enga=ed in illegal conduct that amounts to solicitation of prostitution. =is conduct was wrong and a violation of Florida state law. Although =o coercion, violence, alcohol, drugs or the like were involved, some of th= women he paid were under the age of 18. Those facts were carefully assessed by experienced state prosecut=rs who aggressively enforce state criminal laws. No one turned a bli=d eye to potential offenses to the public order. To the contrary, th= Palm Beach State Attorney's Office conducted an extensive 15-month in=estigation, led by the chief of the Sex Crimes Division. Mr. Epstein=was then indicted by a state grand jury on a single felony count of solici=ation of prostitution.

During that intense investigation, the =tate prosecutors extensively gathered and analyzed the evidence, met face-to-face with many of the asserted vict=ms, considered their credibility -- or lack thereof -- and considered the =xtent of exculpatory evidence. After months of negotiations, the sta=e prosecutors believed they had reached a reasoned resolution of the matte= that vindicated the public interest -- a resolution entirely consistent w=th that of cases involving other similarly-situated defendants. The =system worked as it should.

Then, in came the feds. The U=ited States Attorney's Office extensively and aggressively investigate= whether Mr. Epstein had engaged in a commercial human trafficking ring, t=rgeting minors. But that's not what this was, and the f=ederal authorities ultimately acknowledged that, deferring prosecution to t= state. But not without conditions. The federal prosecutors i=sisted on many unorthodox requirements that Mr. Epstein's experien=ed defense team had never seen imposed on any defendant anywhere. </=ont>Under the federally-forced deal, Jeffrey was =AOrequired to request that the state prosecutors demand the imposition of = jail sentence and lifetime sex-offender registration, which would no= have otherwise been required under the previously agreed-upon state dispo=ition of this prostitution charge. Jeffrey accepted full r=sponsibility for his conduct, complied with the feds' demands= served his sentence, and in the process was treated exactly the same as o=her state-incarcerated individuals. His conduct while in custody was=exemplary, and so characterized by the state custodial authorities. =/font>

Our friend Jeffrey Epstein has paid his debt to society. He ha= also paid out millions of dollars to the asserted victims and their lawye=s. For over ten years, he has lived a good and law-abiding life,

including carrying on his wide-ranging philanthropies. Those of us who represented him in the Florida proceedings -- for customary professional fees -- now count him as a trusted friend.

Our nation faces vitally important challenges, many involving the treatment of women and basic human dignity. Voices are rightly being raised speaking truth to power, especially about women in the workplace. But Jeffrey, an exemplary employer, has long since been called to account by the criminal justice system for his misdeeds of yesteryear. In the spirit of the bedrock American belief in second chances, that unhappy chapter in Jeffrey's otherwise-magnificent life should be allowed to close once and for all.

--

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved<=r>

-- =br>

=A0 please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

--000000000000038b96057d294e53-- conversation-id 318523 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1544991238 flags 8590195713 remote-id 882610