
From: Ken Starr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, December 16, 2018 7:53 PM
To: J
Subject: Re: My edited version

The edits look good. Basic question: should this be a piece authored by the entire team, or a more personal presentation by two or so members of the team?

I drafted it in a personal way. I like that approach.

Thoughts?

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 16, 2018, at 12:20 PM, J <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> > wrote:

with edits. - pretty good i think thoughts.

From: Kathy Ruemmler <[REDACTED]>
Subject: My edited version

"Sweetheart deal! " So goes the attack on the resolution of a long-ago federal investigation involving our former client -- and now-friend -- Jeffrey Epstein. The attack is profoundly misplaced, supported neither by the law or the facts, nor by the structure of our constitutional republic. To the contrary, Jeffrey was subjected to an aggressive federal intrusion to what would typically be considered a quintessentially local criminal matter in south Florida. His offense — at its core, sexual favors for hire — has long been treated as a matter entrusted to laws of the several States, not the federal government. His conduct — while clearly unlawful and for which he has accepted full responsibility — was a classic state offense and was being treated exactly that way by able, honest prosecutors in Palm Beach County. Nevertheless, far from going "soft" on the matter and without invitation from the state, the federal government intervened. Ironically, now many for their own opportunistic reasons are criticizing the federal decision-makers at the time, including now-Secretary of Labor Alex Acosta (then the United States Attorney in south Florida), for not going far enough.

<=font>
The critics are wrong on the facts and the law.

<=ont size="4">Here are the true key facts: Jeffrey Epstein, a successful self-made businessman with no prior criminal history whatsoever, engaged in illegal conduct that amounts to solicitation of prostitution. His conduct was wrong and a violation of Florida state law. Although no coercion, violence, alcohol, drugs or the like were involved, some of the women he paid were under the age of 18. Those facts were carefully assessed by

experienced state prosecutors who aggressively enforce state criminal laws. No one turned a blind eye to potential offenses to the public order. To the contrary, the Palm Beach State Attorney's Office conducted an extensive 15-month investigation, led by the chief of the Sex Crimes Division. Mr. Epstein was then indicted by a state grand jury on a single felony count of solicitation of prostitution.

During that intense investigation, the state prosecutors extensively gathered and analyzed the evidence, met face-to-face with many of the asserted victims, considered their credibility -- or lack thereof -- and considered the extent of exculpatory evidence. After months of negotiations, the state prosecutors believed they had reached a reasoned resolution of the matter that vindicated the public interest -- a resolution entirely consistent with that of cases involving other similarly-situated defendants. The system worked as it should.

Then, in came the feds. The United States Attorney's Office extensively and aggressively investigated whether Mr. Epstein had engaged in a commercial human trafficking ring, targeting minors. But that's not what this was, and the federal authorities ultimately acknowledged that, deferring prosecution to the state. But not without conditions. The federal prosecutors insisted on many unorthodox requirements that Mr. Epstein's experienced defense team had never seen imposed on any defendant anywhere. Under the federally-forced deal, Jeffrey was required to request that the state prosecutors demand the imposition of a jail sentence and lifetime sex-offender registration, which would not have otherwise been required under the previously agreed-upon state disposition of this prostitution charge. Jeffrey accepted full responsibility for his conduct, complied with the feds' demands, served his sentence, and in the process was treated exactly the same as other state-incarcerated individuals. His conduct while in custody was exemplary, and so characterized by the state custodial authorities.

</iv>

Our friend Jeffrey Epstein has paid his debt to society. He has also paid out millions of dollars to the asserted victims and their lawyers. For over ten years, he has lived a good and law-abiding life, including carrying on his wide-ranging philanthropies. Those of us who represented him in the Florida proceedings -- for customary professional fees -- now count him as a trusted friend.

please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this

return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

=/div>

=