
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 21, 2017 3:57 PM
To: Leon Black; Melanie Spinella
Subject: Fwd:

please read until end

<=r>

1 Leon- had I known from the beginning what i know now, ♦=A0 I would never have gotten involved with =span id="m_1646602899778344326:27c.1">Ana s issue n=ver ! ever.!. / I have 40 years of experience dealing w=th the IRS , I never have cheated ,evaded or crafted rid=culous returns . I am maniacal in taking♦=A0 carefully crafted thoughtful positions that even if I don't r=ceive the full benefit (i have no recollection of any total loss on=any position.) I get most of it. . Hence my cred=bility with the IRS is quite unique and one of my most important ass=ts. as you know my research is detailed , the case laws=C2♦ relevant and though the arguments are sometimes hot there is great r=spect on both sides.

. Anas situation is emblematic of=my warning s and advice that it appears still continues to go unheed=d. . I have repeatedly told you that these girls , all w=nt to show how clever they are. they , come from countries where tax=s are rarely paid and on top of that , they have no experience with =eal rules, money or biz . they often push the envelope b=yond the pale unfortunately usually ending up getting =he principal in serious trouble. . (sometimes by design, I as=ume not in this case, though she would not be unhappy to see Debra find ou= about it .). For six months I have asked you , her and her g=y bookkeeper if there was something i didn't know or wasn't being =old , as I found this particular audit highly unusual.- =highly ! - not helpful that you with the usual voice of author=ty telling me in no uncertain terms "what the agent real=y wants" . I continue to be amazed how you , Barry, and brad share very strong detailed views without h=ving even one day of true experience. and relying on joslin and toms pronouncements . ♦=A0 I will review with you the ugly facts only on the phone . <=r>

2. I can easily ignore the fact that your repeated =regarding the detectability of the first 100 million that saved your=financial life. and provided a resurrection of 3 billion dollars of=C2♦ grats that had died is never balanced by the reco=nition of what you received and frankly not to date- paid for = Each time you have chosen not to pay what we agreed. or what=has been written by me in many emails BEFORE the transactions are co=plete. you tell me that tom and joslins advice is=one of the reasons that you feel you shouldn't pay the amount owed wri=ten or agreed. (mind you , this only happens AFTER you =ave received the benefits of my advice) In the big tran=action - you then add the easily refuted and silly argument th=t it was Alan's idea. BTW It is , and would ha=e been super easy for you to set a meeting if you had chosen to get =o the bottom of this farce. . you have met with brad. th=re are memos. there are emails (which you choose not e=en to look at , for , I can only assume . for fear that ihis fabrications is as clear as I sug=est it to be .). NOW - lets assume that i show you that alan<=span> had only an irrelevant minuscule involvement ,at the very end . ♦=A0 way after the fact , no serious involvement in the crafting or ex=cution of the idea - in a transaction that saved your family 600 mil=ion dollars. or re detectability that in fac= the first hundred or the full 175 actually now gets you a benefit t=at you have been going on about . . what then ?! will t=ere be more reasons that r , why agreed amounts should not be paid. =r is this an exercise solely for amusement . not mine

3 It seems you have forgotten that it was you,=that asked for my HELP!. Gigi, Carlyn, audi= , gift tax audit audit. 8865, <=pan id="m_1646602899778344326m_-5546043617917172843m_-

878130658301042710=m_9001159257864568465:1e9.25">ga=osian contract. underpayment of Apollo offshore. tax.
=ow AF and A . - it is wholly unfair that after I tell you what=I will charge , you say , don't worry _ as you know we
alw=ys work it out, complete the transaction to your benefit and t=en and only then you decide not to pay , you then
categoricall= state ,(true) that I never agreed to your emails or even r=ad them, or agreed to follow our agreement
which I unilaterally con=idered null and void . however as i previously state this only=happens AFTER the fact. The
amount of time and effort is immense to =ry to get these things right. It is not magic!!, it is focused very =ard and
meticulous work.

4 Frankly, I find=it stranger that after helping you save millions and millions on the=Giacometti. (including now for the
first time , having to record a p=ersonal 5 million dollar loss in my trust . you have forg=tten failed to even buy me
lunch . or ask if=there was anything you could do for me. something is wrong. im not sure wha= it is . but it is clearly
not the normal you.

As=of now. I do not have an easy timely solution to even propose re ana. A needle ha= to be carefully threaded
between ugly alternatives. There are=many considerations . putting the toothpaste back in the tube unlike=y. most
not for email I can talk only after=630 or if better for you . tomorrow. as i might then have more=info.

conversation-id 34515 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1503331037 flags 8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 remote-id
741620