
From: Valeria Chomsky [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, July 2, 2017 4:33 PM
To: jeffrey E.

I am trying to gather all the material we have here to send it to you.

Noam also pointed out that the money Carol was making when she worked was very little. But they did not consider as "his" and "her" money separately.

In our case, all the extra expenses I mentioned that were being called "ours" (mine and Noam's) expenses, included the \$50,000/ annual rent to the Wellfleet house (according to Bainco, during a meeting we had, this was a way to transfer more money to the children without incurring in taxes), the medical expenses for Noam's grandson who has autism, some airline tickets for family members, distributions to 10 family members in the maximum amount allowed by law per year, all this coming from the one source of income left to Noam which was the IRAs. Plus our own financial commitments such as the mortgage, condo fee and all our other expenses.

On the other hand, I was not entitled to have health plan from Noam's health plan (from MIT). I am not entitled to have Noam's pension from MIT because of agreements with the university in the past. And I am not entitled to have his social security for some reason. The apartment, according to the family's lawyer, should be part of a trust that has his children as the beneficiaries. But these were the sources that the family's lawyer proposed that I should have in a letter he wrote. So when Noam realized that there was some misleading information, he decided to separate himself from the family's lawyer.

<=div>

Noam, of course, thinks he should have access to the other sources from his own money, but I think we should not.

</=iv>