
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 17, 2017 10:28 PM
To: Larry Visoski
Subject: Re: Royal Jet BBJ

No fee amounts in agreements)??

On =ed, May 17, 2017 at 6:24 PM Larry Visoski [REDACTED] wrote:

<=iv>
From Bob

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forw=rded message:

Fr=m: Robert Peerless [REDACTED]
Date: May 17, 2017 at 1:32:14 PM =DT
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Royal Jet BBJ

</=iv>

Dear Larry,=span>

Please have a look at the=attached draft agreement and see if it meets the approval of Mr. Epstein a=d his attorneys.

I did have a lengthy conv=rstation earlier today with ROYAL JET and I will come back to you with a fu=l report , in the morning your time.

Hope you have a safe flig=t today.

Very best regards<=>

Bob P.


Robert Peerless

OPUS AERONAUTICS

Le Prince de Galles

10, Avenue Grande Bretagne

MC-98000 Monaco



www.opusaero.com <http://www.opusaero.com>

From: Larry [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 May 2017 13:15

To: Robert Peerless
Subject: Re: Royal Jet BBJ

<=pan lang="EN-US" style="font-size:10.0pt;font-family:"Tahoma"=","sans-serif"">

This was some of the last correspondence with Mike, =oyal Jet Legal.

Dear Darren,=u>

Further to the emails below, we have now discussed t=e points raised with Royal Jet.

To keep matters moving forward, please find attached=a revised draft of the Aircraft Purchase Agreement (in clean and red-line =against the last draft) (which remains subject to the further review of Roy=Jet).

Please note the following:

1. : Royal Jet have agreed that all 3 engines may underg= the boroscopic examinations at the same time prior to the main pre-purcha=e inspection. Royal Jet will require that, at the least, those examinations follow the i=spection process for the aircraft itself in that the engines should be acc=pted if they are in the Delivery Condition (rather than there being a wide= discretion). Note that Royal Jet are incurring costs in grounding two aircraft to complete these examin=tions and potential swaps and that the inspection process is already in th= Purchaser's favour given it is rare there is no discrepancy requiring=rectification.

2. : Royal Jet accepts that the Purchaser will not have=complete control over Etihad, so we have made the requirement to commence =he inspection by 18 December=/span> subject to the availability of Etihad. As a general poin=, it is important that we finalise the Aircraft Purchase Agreement and con=firm this slot quickly so we do not lose it.

3. : Boroscopic examinations of the engines are now exc=uded from the main pre-purchase inspection given they will already have be=n carried out.

4. : Royal Jet will permit further flight tests to be c=rried out, but if they are not necessary as per manufacturer's mainten=nce manual for release to service then the Purchaser should pay for them.

5. : Royal Jet has agreed to remove the time limit on p=ovision of the inspection report (so it will simply be provided "a= soon as is reasonably practicable").

6. : Given we have not made any progress in inserting =n actual amount for the combined single limit, in order to move this forwa=d, we have simply made reference to a market standard amount.

7. : We have corrected the typos referenced below.<=u>

We will forward the aircraft specs and inspection sc=pe to you once received from Royal Jet. Once those are inserted, we =hould be able to put the Aircraft Purchase Agreement into execution form a=d proceed with signing.

We look forward to hearing from you.</=>

Kind regards,

Mike

Sent from my iPad

On May 15, 2017, at 10:32 PM, Robert Peerless [REDACTED] wrote:<=p>

Hi Larry,

</=>

All agreed...♦=A6...Thank-you.

</=>

I will try to send you a =imple letter agreement tomorrow.....And then we should disc=ss a good strategy and you need to fill me in on your past dealings.

</=>

Looking forward to coming=to a successful conclusion and securing you the purchase of a good BBJ.

</=>

Best=/p>

</=>

Bob P.</=>

</=>

</=>

</=>


Robert Peerless

OPUS AERONAUTICS

Le Prince de Galles

10, Avenue Grande Bretagne<=u>

MC-98000 Monaco



www.opusaero.com <http://www.opusaero.com>

</=>

</=>

</=>

</=>

From: Lvjet [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 May 2017 13:24
To: Robert Peerless
Subject: Re: Royal Jet BBJ

Bob,

Mr. Epstein is happy to enter=into an agreement with you, your interests need to be aligned, h= doesn't want to get a better price and pay you less of a commission, it should be the reverse. So the proposal is as follows: =u>

\$16M -\$16.4M you get \$250k.=C2◆

\$16.4M - \$16.6M you get \$200k=

\$16.6M - \$16.8M you get =150k.

above \$16.8M you get ♦=A0\$100k.

best,

Larry

-----Original Message-----

To: Larry Visoski [REDACTED]
Sent: Mon, May 15, 2017 6:57 am
Subject: Royal Jet BBJ

Hi Larry,

Good morning from sunny Monaco.

First off let me reiterate the following. I have always prided myself in giving people the "Best" advise that I know how. Next, I have always prided myself in honesty and transparency and lastly I'm probably the hardest working aircraft broker that you will ever meet.

However I only work hard for money and that's what separates me from most other brokers.....I really have no real love for aviation and you would be hard pressed to find me at any air shows or conventions and for sure you will never read very much about me in the Internet. We are just known for working hard, producing results and getting deals done and being remunerated handsomely for our success's.

I pride myself in giving good advice, which is what I did with Mr. Epstein.
1. The BEST deal in the world on a "good" pre-owned BBJ is Royal Jet YG-038..... bar none.

2. The nicest BBJ at a very fair price (off-market) is YG-062 Alberto Pinto designed

3. The Best deal in the world on any aircraft anywhere was Boeing 757-200 S/N 24923(Which is why we sold it within 24 hours after Mr. Epstein's rejection last week.)

Therefore I would be proud and privileged to represent your interests in trying to get a deal done with Royal Jet. My fee would equal 1% of the aircraft upon selling price to be paid simultaneously at closing by Buyer. Of course we would need to close a successful transaction in order to be paid. I would also need to see the original L.O.I. containing all of the terms and conditions discussed with Royal Jet, first time around. I have some very strong connections with Royal Jet and I feel confident that I could add a lot to the deal.

Thanks' in advance for your considerations and I will await your response.

I'm out for a private lunch today with the Prince so all my phones will be turned off for the next 2 hours.

Wish you all the very best

Bob P.

Robert Peerless
OPUS AERONAUTICS
Le Prince de Galles
10, Avenue Grande Bretagne
MC-98000 Monaco

www.opusaero.com <<http://www.opusaero.com>> <=r>

-----Original Message-----

From: Larry Visoski [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 May 2017 21:12
To: Robert Peerless
Subject: BBJ

Jeffrey is asking if you prefer not to be involved in BBJ purchase?

Sent from my iPhone

--

=C2◆ please note

The information contained in this communication is
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may
constitute inside information, and is intended only for

JEE

Unauthorized use= disclosure or copying of this
communication or any part thereof is str=ctly prohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received this
commu=ication in error, please notify us immediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and
destroy this communication and all copies thereo=,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

</=iv>

--001a114eac8476a0b3054fbfce93-- conversation-id 43968 date-last-viewed 0 date-received
1495060104 flags 8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 714841