
From: jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2018 6:11 PM
To: Noam Chomsky
Subject: Re: Marital Trust

Ok

On Mon, Ma= 21, 2018 at 8:09 PM Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] > wrote:

I'd like to hold off on th=s for a bit. I'm curious to learn more about Harry's thinkin=.

I'd like to write to him saying that there's n=thing in Mass law that prevents beneficiaries from doing as I suggested.=C2◆ He can relieve his concerns about future fiduciary responsibility by=resigning, and we can return to the situation before I appointed him trust=e, when I was trustee and had no concerns about fiduciary responsibility.=C2◆ If he feels that he has carried out past actions that make him liabl= to some legal process, he should arrange with his lawyer about ways to pr=ect himself. I would also like to ask him more directly than before=what he thinks would be a proper division.

Then we can go on=from there.

OK?

On Mon, May 21, 2018 at 2:03 AM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

Rich Kahn can talk wi=h Harry if ok with u

On Mon, May 21, 201= at 10:13 AM jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> wrote:

All silly , they can make s final dis=ribution of 2 million dollars and you and Valeria release all. Max Harry c=ildren and you receive releases - easy

On Mon, May 21, 2018 at 6:46 AM Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] > wrote:

the latest.

=br>

Mass law prevents beneficiaries to divide up a trust and liq=ide it?

----- Forwarded message -----

It sounds like you would like me to say yes or no to your proposal exactly as you have stated it, without further discussion. I can't do that. Here are some reasons:

1. It's not permitted under Massachusetts trust law. I agreed to certain obligations when I became trustee, and I have to make sure to discharge them faithfully. Even if you tell me you don't care about my fiduciary responsibility, the law says I'm responsible anyway.
2. It's not specific. For instance, you mention dividing the trust into two parts, but you don't say what each part would consist of.
3. It's not complete. For instance, you haven't proposed any way to shield you and Max from liability for past actions.

It might be possible to work out all of these problems and develop a legal, specific and complete agreement based on the framework you've proposed. Would you like to engage with me in some kind of process to attempt that? Other than having your lawyer talk to mine, do you have any suggestion about how to do so?

On Sat, May 19, 2018 at 2:26 PM, Noam Chomsky & [REDACTED] > wrote:

I'm glad that you find the idea interesting and think that you might consider it= though you have to consult lawyers first.

My own view is different. To me the proposal I suggested seems to be a very simple way of settling this matter, which to me is extremely troubling. I realize that this is just another case of a longstanding difference in the way we approach these problems, a difference that has been clear ever since we were discussing the interest on the loan from the Trust and found that we could not communicate because I mistakenly assumed that it was a discussion among family members while your letters made it very clear and explicit that you saw it as a legal issue to be settled among lawyers and Bainco, perhaps with a mediator in the adversary proceeding. All matters I find it=very hard to comprehend, and to live with, but so be it.

=div style="color:rgb(34,34,34);font-family:arial,sans-serif;font-size:12=8px;font-style:normal;font-variant-ligatures:normal;font-variant-caps:norm=l;font-weight:400;letter-spacing:normal;text-align:start;text-indent:0px;t=xt-transform:none;white-space:normal;word-spacing:0px;background-color:rgb=255,255,255);text-decoration-style:initial;text-decoration-color:initial">=o by all means consult with your lawyer, or perhaps a battery of lawyers, =o make sure that your interests are properly protected. I don't need any lawyer's advice. The matter is perfectly clear and straightforward. So there is no reason for me to hire a lawyer to deal with the question and to have a lawyer contact yours and initiate a discussion=in which we all participate.

The matter is very simple. Even if we can proceed without delay if you agree to settle the issue in the simple manner that I suggested.

As for your proposals in your letter of March 29, as I wrote you, the letter was so shocking that it was hard for me to bring myself to respond, but I did, in detail, but decided not to send it. Perhaps I should. Will think about it.

=/div>

As for your proposals, my response was the obvious one. I'm sorry for the stress you had to endure, but your efforts were a waste of time for reasons I had already fully explained before you undertook them. ♦=A0 As I'm sure you recall, a few years ago, I requested tax payments from the marital trust when my IRA was being rapidly depleted by my advisers who were distributing half to family and using the other half to pay management fees and taxes for the entire estate, so that to pay Alex's medical expenses and the expenses for Wellfleet I had to withdraw excess funds with exorbitant taxes, all that before withdrawing even a cent to live on again with exorbitant taxes. Your response was to refuse the request unless I agreed to intrusive and insulting financial investigations -- of a kind I never considered when providing funds to you for something you needed. I made it clear and explicit at the time that I would not submit to this procedure. Since your efforts and proposals simply repeat the same procedure, they were a waste of time.

There were some things in your letter that were correct. You're right that despite what has happened, I'm still a "wealthy man," with income well above the median, though lacking a pension and accumulated property, not at the level of my peers. Furthermore, I can supplement my income by teaching large undergraduate courses, something I'd never done and that is not that common for people approaching 90, but something that I enjoy. =C2♦ And you too are a wealthy man, for the same reasons: the reasons are that I've worked hard all my life, lived fairly simply (and live even more simply today), and was therefore able to put aside enough money to ensure that my children and grandchildren are very well cared for, indefinitely.

But I again suggest that we put all of this aside, and deal quickly and simply with what appears to be the one outstanding issue: dividing the Marital trust and then dissolving it, all very simply, needing no lawyers, at least on my part.

D

On Fri, May 18, =018 at 1:44 PM, Harry Chomsky [REDACTED]

wrote:

This is an interesting idea. We could consider it further, but I would need the advice of my lawyer — and assume you would want your own lawyer's advice as well — to ensure that any agreement we reach is consistent with Massachusetts law and satisfies the interests, needs, and obligations of everybody involved. ♦=A0 Perhaps, as a next step, you could ask your lawyer to contact mine and begin a discussion in which we all participate.

I'm also curious to hear your thoughts about the proposals I suggested in my message on March 29th.

On Thu, May 17, =018 at 10:05 AM, Noam Chomsky [REDACTED]

wrote:

As I wrote a little while ago, I did write a long response to your last -- deeply depressing -- letter, but decided not to send it. I may return to that letter later but will keep to some factual matters that ought to be cleared up.

But now I'm writing just about one point, which seems to be the core of the problem -- a problem, which, again, I don't understand. But let's put that aside, though I hope we can clear it up soon. All of this is a C2 ♦ painful cloud that I never would have imagined would darken my late ears.

The core issue seems to be the marital trust. I've explained how M and I actually set it up with Eric, which seemed to us just plain common sense. I've also explained Max's different interpretation. I've asked you for yours, but haven't heard it. But let's put that aside too, and just resolve the matter, as can be done very simply -- with no need for lawyers to explain the fiduciary responsibility of the trustee I appointed years ago to replace me, something I never paid any attention to before.

The simple solution is to divide the trust into two parts. One part will go to you, to use as you wish. One part will go to me, for example to use without any investigations of my financial situation and other such intrusions that I won't accept. Then the trust can simply be dissolved, and it is all over.

So I suggest that we proceed this way, and end the whole matter -- at least, whatever it is that I understand about what is of concern to you.

D<=div>

--

=C2 ♦ please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this

return e-mail or by e-mail to j=evacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>, and

destroy this communication and all copies hereof, including all attachments. copyright - all rights reserved

</=iv>

--

=please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this

c=mmunication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited
and may be unlaw=ul. If you have received this
communication in error, please notify us =mmediately by
return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> ,

and

destroy t=is communication and all copies thereof,
including all attachments. cop=right -all rights reserved

--

The informa=ion contained in this communication is
confidential, may be attorney-cl=ent privileged, may
constitute inside information, and is intended only=for
the use of the addressee. It is the property of
JEE

Unauthori=ed use, disclosure or copying of this
communication or any part thereof=is strictly prohibited
and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us
immediately by

return e-mail =r by e-mail to =eevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and
destroy this communication and all copies=thereof,
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

<=div>

--000000000000399c9d056cbb3ab0-- conversation-id 5434 date-last-viewed 0 date-received

1526926274 flags 8590195717 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 822933