

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, May 19, 2018 12:32 PM  
**To:** Noam Chomsky  
**Subject:** Re: Marital Trust

there is nothing except the split and mutual releases =A0 from you valeria on one side and the children on the other.  
=C2 I suggest you take 2 million and they take 500k plus the note

On Sat, May 19, 2018 at =:37 AM Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] >> wrote:

Just got this from Harry. I'm inclined to write a brie= response saying that he can consult=with lawyers if he likes, but I don't have to. There is no problem at =ll with the proposal.

I might also add somethin= about my response to his letter of March 29 and why I simply dismissed it= OK?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Harry Chomsky <[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>  
Date: Fr=, May 18, 2018 at 1:44 PM  
Subject: Re: Marital Trust  
To: Noam Chomsk= [REDACTED] >>  
Cc: Avi Chomsky [REDACTED] >>, Diana Chomsky  
<[REDACTED]>>

This is an interesting idea= We could consider it further, but I would need the advice of my law=er — and I assume you would want your own lawyer's advice as w=ll — to ensure that any agreement we reach is consistent with Mass=chusetts law and satisfies the interests, needs, and obligations of everyb=dy involved. Perhaps, as a next step, you could ask your lawyer to c=ntact mine and begin a discussion in which we all participate.

<=r>

I'm also curious to hear your thoughts about the proposal= I suggested in my message on March 29th.

On Thu, May 17, 2018 at 10:05 A=, Noam Chomsky <nchomsky3@gmail.com <mailto:nchomsky3@gmail.com>> wrote:

As I wrote a little while =go, I did write a long response to your last -- deeply depressing -- lette=, but decided not to send it. I may return to that letter later but =ill keep to some factual matters that ought to be cleared up.

But now I'm writing just about one point, which seems to be the =ore of the problem -- a problem, which, again, I don't understand. =A0 But let's put that aside, though I hope we can clear it up soon. =A0 All of this is a painful cloud that I never would have imagined w=uld darken my late years.

The core issue seems to=be the marital trust. I've explained how M and I actually set it=up with Eric, which seemed to us just plain common sense. I've a=so explained Max's different interpretation. I've asked you =or yours, but haven't heard it. But let's put that aside too= and just resolve the matter, as can be done very simply -- with no need f= lawyers to explain the fiduciary responsibility of the trustee I appoint=d years ago to replace me, something I never paid any attention to before.=/div>

The simple solution is to divide the trust into t=0 parts. One part will go to you, to use as you wish. One part=will go to me, for me to use without any investigations of my financial si=uation and other such intrusions that I won't accept. Then the t=ust can simply be dissolved, and it is all over.

=o I suggest that we proceed this way, and end the whole matter -- at least= whatever it is that I understand about what is of concern to you.

D

--

=C2 please note

The information co=tained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client pr=vileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for

JEE

Unauthorized use= disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is str=ctly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this commu=ication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e=mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> , and destroy this communication and all copies thereo=, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved

</=iv>

--000000000000183b1e056c8e4392-- conversation-id 5434 date-last-viewed 0 date-received 1526733149 flags 8590195713 gmail-label-ids 7 6 remote-id 822453