
From: Noam Chomsky [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, May 19, 2018 7:21 PM
To: jeffrey E.; Valeria Chomsky
Subject: Fwd: Marital Trust

Makes sense, but I'd like to approach it in steps. I'm interested to hear what Harry will suggest, so I think I'll write him along the lines mentioned to you. And if nothing sensible works, will do as you suggest.

jeevacation@gmail.com> wrote:

there is nothing except the split and mutual releases from you valeria on one side and the children on the other. I suggest you take 2 million and they take 500k plus the note

On Sat, May 19, 2018 at 3:37 AM Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] > wrote:

Just got this from Harry. I'm inclined to write a brief response saying that he can consult with lawyers if he likes, but I don't have to. There is no problem at all with the proposal.

I might also add something about my response to his letter of March 29 and why I simply dismissed it.

OK?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Harry Chomsky [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> Date: Fri, May 18, 2018 at 1:44 PM
Subject: Re: Marital Trust
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Avi Chomsky [REDACTED], Diana [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This is an interesting idea. We could consider it further, but I would need the advice of my lawyer — and I assume you would want your own lawyer's advice as well — to ensure that any agreement we reach is consistent with Massachusetts law and satisfies the interests, needs, and obligations of everybody involved. Perhaps, as a next step, you could ask your lawyer to contact mine and begin a discussion in which we all participate.</div>

I'm also curious to hear your thoughts about the proposals I suggested in my message on March 29th.

On Thu, May 17, 2018 at 10:05 AM, Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] >

As I wrote a little while ago, I did write a long response to your last -- deep=y depressing -- letter, but decided not to send it. I may return to =hat letter later but will keep to some factual matters that ought to be cl=ared up.

But now I'm writing just about one point, =hich seems to be the core of the problem -- a problem, which, again, I don't understand. But let's put that aside, though I hope we can=clear it up soon. All of this is a painful cloud that I never =ould have imagined would darken my late years.

Th= core issue seems to be the marital trust. I've explained how M =nd I actually set it up with Eric, which seemed to us just plain common se=se. I've also explained Max's different interpretation. I've asked you for yours, but haven't heard it. But let's put that aside too, and just resolve the matter, as can be done very s=mply -- with no need for lawyers to explain the fiduciary responsibility o= the trustee I appointed years ago to replace me, something I never paid a=y attention to before.

The simple solution is to =ivide the trust into two parts. One part will go to you, to use as y=u wish. One part will go to me, for me to use without any investigat=ons of my financial situation and other such intrusions that I won't a=cept. Then the trust can simply be dissolved, and it is all over.

So I suggest that we proceed this way, and end the w=ole matter -- at least, whatever it is that I understand about what is of =oncern to you.

D

--

I=AO please note

The information contained in this commu=ication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may cons=itute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addre=see. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copyi=g of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited a=d may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, pl=ase notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com, a=d destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all a=tachments. copyright -all rights reserved