
From: Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 23, 2016 10:35 AM
To: John P. Woods, AIA
Cc: jeffrey E.; Cecile de Jongh
Subject: Re:

Thanks John our 45 day window to appeal to the BLUA expires on November 5, 2016 – so if you can get us a draft next week. If you need any legal research from our end – please let me know.

<= class="MsoNormal" style="font-family: Cambria;">Erika A. Kellerhals

Member

Kellerhals Ferguson Kroblin PLLC

Royal Palms Professional Building

9053 Estate Thomas, Suite 101

St. Thomas, VI 00802

=span style="font-family: Garamond;">Tel: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED] <=:p>

email: [REDACTED] <mailto:ekellerhals@kellerhals.com>

<=font>

Notice: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe that you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Also, please indicate to the sender that you have received this e-mail in error, and delete the copy you received. Thank you.

Circular 230: To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in our communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be

used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding any tax penalty or (ii)=promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: "John P. Woods, AIA" <[REDACTED] <mailto:jar=dian2@vipowernet.net>>
Date: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 at 4:36 PM
To: Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>
Cc: "jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>>, Cecile de Jongh
<[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>, "John P. Woods" <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Re:

=div>

I would still try, given the vagueness of the height restrictions and him quoting rules and regulations that aren't approved. We just have to restate the case.

JPW

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 22= 2016, at 4:12 PM, Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>> wrote:

John – Cecile, Amy & I had the discussion regarding the flagpole at a meeting with JP and the Commissioner and she deferred to him on the 45' height restriction. I'm not sure that it would make a difference to go back to her and ask her to reconsider.

Erika A. Kellerhals=/o:p>

Member

=p class="MsoNormal">Kellerhals Ferguson Kroblin PLLC<=p>

Royal Palm Professional Building

9053 Estate Thomas, Suite 101

St. Thomas, VI 00802

Tel: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED] <=o:p>

email: ekellerhals@kel=fer.com <mailto:[REDACTED]>

&=bsp;

</=pan>

Notice: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe that you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate or otherwise use this information. Also, please indicate to the sender that you have received this e-mail in error, and delete the copy you received. Thank you.

<=:p>

Circular 230: To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in our communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding any tax penalty or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

<=span>

From: "John P. Woods" <[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>

Date: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 at 4:09 PM

=o: "Jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>>, Erika Kellerhals

<[REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]>>, 'Cecile de Jongh' <[REDACTED]>

Subject: </=>RE:

Jeffrey,

I was going to say you didn't call the Governor to set up the meeting. He followed the issue in the media and reached out to you. That's how I understood it when we spoke on the island, but I wasn't 100% sure. If that is the case, it might be beneficial if the Governor states that at the beginning of the meeting so these guys don't think you are running to him every time you have a problem with them. Additionally, I think we maneuvered them into stating we could ask the Commissioner to reconsider the 45 feet height restriction on the flagpole, particularly since the permit hasn't been fully executed as yet. It is clear to me the section referenced to limit the height appears to refer to attachments in a building than a freestanding pole. Hopefully the Commissioner can prevail with that. If not, go to Land Use & Appeals.

JPW

From: Jeffrey E. [mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 1:13 PM
To: Erika Kellerhals; Cecile de Jongh; John P. Woods, AIA
Subject:

<=div>

goo= work thanks .. it would have been nice if he began agan the me=ting telling you guys that the governor wanted a meeting

--

please note</=:p>

The information contained in this communic=tion is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitu=e inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee.=It is the property of

JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of =his communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may =e unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please not=fy us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> , and destroy =his communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. cop=right -all rights reserved