

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 23, 2016 10:44 AM  
**To:** Erika Kellerhals  
**Subject:** Re:

Nov???

On Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 6:35 AM Erika Kellerhals << href="mailto: [REDACTED]"> [REDACTED]>  
w=ote:

Thanks John our 45 day window to appeal to the BLUA expires =n November 5, 2016 – so if you can get us a draft next week. If yo= need any legal research from our end – please let me know. <=div>

Erika A. Kellerhals<=u>

Member

</=>

Notice: This communication ma= contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are no= the intended recipient, or believe that you have received this communicat=on in error, please do not print, copy, re-transmit, disseminate, or other=ise use this information. Also, please indicate to the sender that y=u have received this e-mail in error, and delete the copy you received.◆=A0 Thank you.

</=>

Circular 230: To ensure compliance with the =requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice containe= in our communication (including any attachments) was not intended or writ=en to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding any tax=penalty or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any =ransaction or matter addressed herein.

<div style="word-wrap:break-word;color:rgb(0,0,0);font-size:14px;font-family:Calibri,sans-serif" class="gmail\_msg">

From: <span> "John P. Woods, AIA" <[REDACTED]> </span>>  
Date: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 at 4:36 PM  
To: Erika Kellerhals <mailto:[REDACTED]> <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]> >  
Cc: "Jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> >, Cecile de Jongh  
&l; [REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]> >, "John P. Woods" <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: Re:

I would still try, given the vagueness of the height restrictions and him quoting rules and regulations that aren't approved. We just have to restate the case.

JPW

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 22, 2016, at 4:12 PM, Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED]> wrote:

John – Cecile, Amy & I had the discussion regarding the flagpole at a meeting with JP and the Commissioner and she deferred to him on the 45' height restriction. I'm not sure that it would make a difference to go back to her and ask her to reconsider. <div class="gmail\_msg">

<div class="gmail\_msg">

Erika A. Kellerhals<span style="color:black" class="gmail\_msg"><div class="gmail\_msg">

Member ◆=A0

Kellerhals Ferguson Kroblin PLLC</span>

St. Thomas, VI 00802

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

email: [REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]> <u>

Notice: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe that you have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, retransmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Also, please indicate to the sender that you have received this e-mail in error, and delete the copy you received. Thank you.

Circular 230: To ensure compliance with the requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in our communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding any tax penalty or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

=/span>

From: "John P. Woods" <[REDACTED]>

Date:

To: "Jeffrey E." <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>>, Erika Kellerhals <[REDACTED]>, Cecile de Jongh' <[REDACTED]>  
<mailto:[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE:

<= class="MsoNormal gmail\_msg">

I was going to say you didn't call the Governor to set up the meeting. He followed the issue in the media and reached out to you. That's how I understood it when we spoke on the island, but I wasn't 100% sure. If that is the case, it might be beneficial if the Governor states that at the beginning of the meeting so these guys don't think you are running to him every time you have a problem with them. Additionally, I think we maneuvered them into stating we could ask the Commissioner to reconsider the 45 foot height restriction on the flagpole, particularly since the permit hasn't been fully executed as yet. It is clear to me the section referenced to limit the height appears to refer to attachments on a building than a freestanding pole. Hopefully the Commissioner can prevail with that. If not, go to Land Use & Appeals.

JPW

Thank you please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE  
Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this

communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacat-on@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacat-on@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved