

---

**From:** John P. Woods, AIA <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 22, 2016 8:36 PM  
**To:** Erika Kellerhals  
**Cc:** Jeffrey E.; Cecile de Jongh; John P. Woods  
**Subject:** Re:

I would still try, given the vagueness=of the height restrictions and him quoting rules and regulations that aren'= approved. We just have to restate the case.

JPW

Sent from my iPhone=

On Nov 22, 2016, at 4:12 PM, Erika Kellerhals <

=nbsp;

&=bsp;

No=ice: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential i=formation. If you are not the intended recipient, or believe that you=have received this communication in error, please do not print, copy, re-tr=nsmit, disseminate, or otherwise use this information. Also, please i=dicate to the sender that you have received this e-mail in error, and delet= the copy you received. Thank you.=o:p>

=span style="color:black">

</=>

Circular 230: To ensure compliance with the requirements=imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any tax advice contained in our comm=nication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used= and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding any tax penalty or (ii= promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or m=atter addressed herein.

From: =/b>"John P. Woods" <[REDACTED]>  
=b>Date: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 at 4:09 PM  
To: "Jeffrey E=)" <jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com> &g=; Erika Kellerhals  
<[REDACTED]> >, 'Cecile de Jongh' <[REDACTED]>  
Subject: RE: </=:p>

=p class="MsoNormal">Jeffrey,

=/o:p>

I was going to say you didn't call the Governor or to set up the meeting. He followed the issue in the media and reached out to you. That's how I understood it when we spoke on the island, but I wasn't 100% sure. If that is the case, it might be beneficial if the Governor states that at the beginning of the meeting so these guys don't think you are running to him every time you have a problem with them. Additionally, I think we maneuvered them into stating we could ask the Commissioner to reconsider the 45 feet height restriction on the flagpole, particularly since the permit hasn't been fully executed as yet. It is clear to me the section referenced to limit the height appears to refer to attachments on a building than a freestanding pole. Hopefully the Commissioner can prevail with that. If not, go to Land Use & Appeals.

JPW

<=o:p>

From: Jeffrey E. [mailto:[jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com) <mailto:[jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com)>]  
Sent: Tuesday, November 22, 2016 1:13 PM  
To: Erika Kellerhals; Cecile de Jongh; John P. Woods, AIA  
Subject:

good work thanks .. it would have been nice if he began again the meeting telling you guys that the government wanted a meeting

--

=nbsp; please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE. Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com) <mailto:[jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com)>, and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved<=o:p>

=