

---

**From:** jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 18, 2015 4:08 PM  
**To:** Lawrence Krauss  
**Subject:** Re: an article you may both hate. or like.

you can invite depp to visit us when you are in the caribe=n

On Th=, Sep 10, 2015 at 4:10 PM, Lawrence Krauss <[REDACTED]>; wrote:

P=. My piece argued against fanaticism.

Lawrence M. Krauss  
Director= The Origins Project at ASU  
Foundation Professor  
School of Eart= & Space Exploration and Physics Department  
Arizona State University,=C2 P.O. Box 871404, Tempe, AZ 85287-14=4  
Research Office: [REDACTED], Assistant (Jessica): Origins Office (Cynthia):  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
origins.asu.edu <<http://origins.asu.edu/>> | [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

<=b>  
<=span>

Sent from my iPhone

On =ep 10, 2015, at 12:02 PM, jeffrey E. <jeevacation@gmail.com <<mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>> > wrote:

I think religion plays a m=jor positive role in many lives. . i dont like fanaticism on either =ide. . sorry

On Thu, Sep 10, 2015 at 7:52 PM, Noam Chomsky [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]> <[mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])> wrote:

Thanks for sending. A wide area=of agreement, but not total.

On confronting dogma, I of course agr=e – though in my opinion the secular religions – nationali=t fanaticism, etc. – are much more dangerous. And if some fi=d rational discussion offensive – as, for example,

mainstream academics find dismantling myths of "American exceptionalism" or "E2 Israeli self-defense" or Obama's mass murder campaign, etc., offensive – so be it.

But I don't see why that should extend to ridicule. That includes astrologists. Astronomers can refute astrology, while recognizing that perfectly honest and deluded people may believe it and should be treated with respect, while their beliefs are confronted with evidence. I also don't see why we should ridicule religious dogma, just as I don't think we should ridicule the much more pernicious secular dogmas. Rather, we should respond to irrational belief with argument and evidence, while recognizing that their advocates (like most of the intellectual world in the case of secular dogma) are people who we should be responding to but without ridiculing them. It may be hard sometimes. For example, when the icon and founding father of sober non-sentimental Realism in International Affairs informs us that the US, unlike other countries, has a "transcendental purpose," and the fact that it constantly acts in contradiction to its purpose doesn't matter because the facts are just "a misuse of history" while real history is "the evidence of history as our minds reflect it," then it's hard to avoid ridicule. But we should. There's no point ridiculing virtually the entire IR profession and the major journals, even though such extraordinary irrationality leads to major human disasters.

On Davis, I frankly think that is a non-issue. If she decides she cannot do her job as the conditions of employment require (including following the law), then she can quit and look for another job. As in any other such case.

Noam

From: Lawrence Krauss [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
Sent: Thursday, September 10, 2015 1:51 AM  
To: Noam Chomsky <[REDACTED]>; jeffrey E.  
<jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>>  
Subject: an article you may both hate. or like.

☞=A0 hope all is well.

Lawrence

<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/all-scientists-should-be-militant-atheists>  
<<http://www.newyorker.com/news/news-desk/all-scientists-should-be-militant-atheists>> =/u>

Lawrence M. Krauss  
Director, The Origins Project at ASU  
Co-Director, Cosmology Initiative

Foundation Professor  
School of Earth & Space Exploration and Physics Department

Arizona State University, P.O. Box 71404, Tempe, AZ 85287-1404

Research Office: [REDACTED], Assistant (Jessica): [REDACTED]

Origins Office (Cynthia): [REDACTED] =/u>

krauss@asu.edu <[REDACTED]>

origins.asu.edu <http://origins.asu.edu/> | [REDACTED]

--

=C2 [REDACTED] please note

The information contained in this communication is  
confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may  
constitute inside information, and is intended only for  
the use of the addressee. It is the property of  
JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this  
communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited  
and may be unlawful. If you have received this

return e-mail or by e-mail to jeevacation@gmail.com <mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com>, and  
destroy this communication and all copies hereof,  
including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved  
</=iv>

--

=C2 [REDACTED] please note

The information contained in this communication is confidential, may be attorney-client privileged, may constitute inside information, and is intended only for the use of the addressee. It is the property of JEE

Unauthorized use, disclosure or copying of this communication or any part thereof is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return e-mail or by e-mail to [jeevacation@gmail.com](mailto:jeevacation@gmail.com), and destroy this communication and all copies thereof, including all attachments. copyright -all rights reserved